


Mathematical Models in Portfolio Analysis

Farida Kachapova



Download free books at

bookboon.com

Farida Kachapova

Mathematical Models in Portfolio Analysis

Mathematical Models in Portfolio Analysis

First Edition


© 2013 Farida Kachapova & bookboon.com (Ventus Publishing ApS)

ISBN 978-87-403-0370-4

Contents

Preface	7
Part 1: Mathematical Introduction	8
1 Matrices and Applications	9
1.1 Terminology	9
1.2 Matrix Operations	10
1.3 Determinants	12
1.4 Systems of Linear Equations	13
1.5 Positive Definite Matrices	14
1.6 Hyperbola	17
2 Orthogonal Projection	19
2.1 Orthogonal Projection onto a Subspace	19
2.2 Orthogonal Projection onto a Vector	20
2.3 Minimal Property of Orthogonal Projection	21

Please click the advert



Masters in Management



Designed for high-achieving graduates across all disciplines, London Business School's Masters in Management provides specific and tangible foundations for a successful career in business.

This 12-month, full-time programme is a business qualification with impact. In 2010, our MiM employment rate was 95% within 3 months of graduation*; the majority of graduates choosing to work in consulting or financial services.

As well as a renowned qualification from a world-class business school, you also gain access to the School's network of more than 34,000 global alumni – a community that offers support and opportunities throughout your career.

For more information visit www.london.edu/mm, email mim@london.edu or give us a call on +44 (0)20 7000 7573.

* Figures taken from London Business School's Masters in Management 2010 employment report

Download free ebooks at bookboon.com

3	Random Variables	22
3.1	Numerical Characteristics of a Random Variable	22
3.2	Covariance and Correlation Coefficient	27
3.3	Covariance Matrix	29
4	Regression	31
4.1	Euclidean Space of Random Variables	31
4.2	Regression	37
4.3	Regression to a Constant	37
4.4	Simple Linear Regression	38
	Part 2: Portfolio Analysis	40
5	Portfolio Modelling	41
5.1	Terminology	41
5.2	Short Sales	44
5.3	Minimizing Risk	45
5.4	Statistical Parameters of an N-Asset Portfolio	48
5.5	Envelope of Financial Assets	49



Join EADS. A global leader in aerospace, defence and related services.

Let your imagination take shape.

EADS unites a leading aircraft manufacturer, the world's largest helicopter supplier, a global leader in space programmes and a worldwide leader in global security solutions and systems to form Europe's largest defence and aerospace group. More than 140,000 people work at Airbus, Astrium, Cassidian and Eurocopter, in 90 locations globally, to deliver some of the industry's most exciting projects.

An **EADS internship** offers the chance to use your theoretical knowledge and apply it first-hand to real situations and assignments during your studies. Given a high level of responsibility, plenty of

learning and development opportunities, and all the support you need, you will tackle interesting challenges on state-of-the-art products.

We take more than 5,000 interns every year across disciplines ranging from engineering, IT, procurement and finance, to strategy, customer support, marketing and sales. Positions are available in France, Germany, Spain and the UK.

To find out more and apply, visit www.jobs.eads.com. You can also find out more on our **EADS Careers Facebook page**.



ASTRIUM



CASSIDIAN



EUROCOPTER

EADS

6	Mean-Variance Analysis	56
6.1	Principle of Two Fund Separation	56
6.2	Efficient Frontier	59
6.3	Mean-Variance Relation	59
6.4	Mean-Variance Relation for 2-Asset Portfolios	69
7	Capital Market Theory	79
7.1	Risk-Free Asset	79
7.2	Tangency Portfolio	81
7.3	Market Portfolio. Capital Market Line	87
7.4	Finding Market Portfolio and CML Equation	89
7.5	Regression in Finance. Beta Coefficient	98
7.6	Capital Asset Pricing Model and Security Market Line	103
8	Bibliography	108

Please click the advert

Excellent Economics and Business programmes at:



**university of
 groningen**



**“The perfect start
 of a successful,
 international career.”**

CLICK HERE
 to discover why both socially
 and academically the University
 of Groningen is one of the best
 places for a student to be

www.rug.nl/feb/education

Preface

Portfolio analysis is the part of financial mathematics that is covered in existing textbooks mainly from the financial point of view without focussing on mathematical foundations of the theory. The aim of this book is to explain the foundations of portfolio analysis as a consistent mathematical theory, where assumptions are stated, steps are justified and theorems are proved. However, we left out details of the assumptions for equilibrium market and capital asset pricing model in order to keep the focus on mathematics.

Part 1 of the book is a general mathematical introduction with topics in matrix algebra, random variables and regression, which are necessary for understanding the financial chapters. The mathematical concepts and theorems in Part 1 are widely known, so we explain them briefly and mostly without proofs.

The topics in Part 2 include portfolio analysis and capital market theory from the mathematical point of view. The book contains many practical examples with solutions and exercises.

The book will be useful for lecturers and students who can use it as a textbook and for anyone who is interested in mathematical models of financial theory and their justification. The book grew out of a course in financial mathematics at the Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand.

Dr. Farida Kachapova

Part 1:

Mathematical Introduction

In Chapters 1–4 we briefly describe some basic mathematical facts necessary for understanding of the book.

1 Matrices and Applications

1.1 Terminology

- A **matrix** is a rectangular array of numbers.
- A matrix with m rows and n columns is called an $m \times n$ -**matrix** (m by n matrix).
- An $n \times n$ -matrix is called a **square** matrix.
- A $1 \times n$ -matrix is called a **row** matrix.
- An $m \times 1$ -matrix is called a **column** matrix.

Example 1.1.

1) $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ is a 2×4 -matrix.

2) $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ is a 3×3 -matrix, a square matrix.

3) $[2 \quad 4 \quad 6]$ is a 1×3 -matrix, a row.

4) $\begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is a 4×1 -matrix, a column. \square

For a matrix A the element in row i , column j is denoted a_{ij} . So $A = [a_{ij}]$ is the short notation for the matrix A .

Denote $\mathbf{0}$ a column of all zeroes (the length is usually obvious from context).

A square matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ is called **symmetric** if $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for any i, j .

An $n \times n$ -matrix is called **identity** matrix and is denoted I_n if its elements are $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$

So the identity matrix has the form $I_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

1.2 Matrix Operations

1.2.1 Multiplication by a Number.

For a number c and matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ the product $c \cdot A = [ca_{ij}]$. Each element of the matrix A is multiplied by the number c .

Example 1.2. $-2 \times \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & -8 \\ -2 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$. \square

1.2.2 Transposition.

This operation turns the rows of a matrix into columns. The result of transposition of matrix A is called the **transpose** matrix and is denoted A^T . For $A = [a_{ij}]$, $A^T = [a_{ji}]$.

Example 1.3. For $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$, $A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 6 \\ 3 & 7 \\ 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$. \square

1.2.3 Matrix Addition.

For $m \times n$ -matrices $A = [a_{ij}]$ and $B = [b_{ij}]$ the **sum** is defined by: $A + B = [a_{ij} + b_{ij}]$.

Example 1.4.

1) $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 5 \\ -1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$.

2) For $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 5 \\ -1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$, $A + B$ is not defined, since A and B have different dimensions. \square

1.2.4 Matrix Multiplication.

For an $m \times n$ -matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ and an $n \times p$ -matrix $B = [b_{ij}]$ the **product** $C = A \cdot B = [c_{ij}]$ is defined by: $c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq p$).

So the product $A \cdot B$ is defined only when the number of columns in A equals the number of rows in B .

Example 1.5.

$$1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 5 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 & 2 & 2 \\ -4 & 15 & -7 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2) For $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $A \cdot B$ is not defined, since the number of columns in A is 3 and the number of rows in B is 2 (different). \square

Theorem 1.1. 1) For a symmetric matrix A , $A^T = A$.

2) If $A \cdot B$ is defined, then $B^T \cdot A^T$ is defined and $(A \cdot B)^T = B^T \cdot A^T$.

1.2.5 Inverse Matrix.

Suppose A and B are $n \times n$ -matrices. B is called the **inverse** of A if $A \cdot B = B \cdot A = I_n$.

If matrix A has an inverse, then A is called an **invertible** matrix.

If matrix A is invertible, then the inverse is unique and is denoted A^{-1} .

1.2. Exercises

1. If A is an $m \times n$ -matrix, what is the dimension of its transpose A^T ?
2. If A is an $m \times n$ -matrix and B is an $n \times p$ -matrix, what is the dimension of their product $A \cdot B$?
3. When a column of length n is multiplied by a row of length n , what is the dimension of their product?
4. When a row of length n is multiplied by a column of length n , what is the dimension of their product?
5. Prove that for any $m \times n$ -matrix A : $AI_n = A$ and $I_m A = A$.
6. For $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ show that $AB \neq BA$.
7. Suppose A is an $m \times n$ -matrix, B is an $n \times k$ -matrix and C is a $k \times p$ -matrix. Prove that $(A \cdot B) \cdot C = A \cdot (B \cdot C)$.
8. Suppose $x = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $y = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ and $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -2 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Calculate the following:

1) $x^T y$, 2) $x^T S y$, 3) $x^T S x$.

Answers: 1) 3, 2) 4, 3) 23.

9. Suppose $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -2 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -4 & 6 \\ -4 & -7 & 6 \\ 6 & 6 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$. Show that $B = A^{-1}$.

1.3 Determinants

We will define the **determinant** $\det A$ for any $n \times n$ -matrix A using induction by n .

1) For a 1×1 -matrix A (a number) $\det A = A$.

2) For a 2×2 -matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ the determinant is defined by: $\det A = ad - bc$.

3) Now suppose $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$ is an $n \times n$ -matrix, $n \geq 3$.

- For each element a_{ij} the corresponding **minor** M_{ij} is the determinant of the matrix obtained from A by removing row i and column j , and the corresponding **cofactor** $A_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} M_{ij}$.
- The **determinant** of A is defined by $\det A = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} A_{kj}$, where k is any integer between 1 and n . The result does not depend on the choice of k .

The determinant of A is also denoted

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Please click the advert

Teach with the Best. Learn with the Best.

Agilent offers a wide variety of affordable, industry-leading electronic test equipment as well as knowledge-rich, on-line resources—for professors and students.

We have 100's of comprehensive web-based teaching tools, lab experiments, application notes, brochures, DVDs/CDs, posters, and more.



See what Agilent can do for you.
www.agilent.com/find/EDUstudents
www.agilent.com/find/EDUeducators

© Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2012

u.s. 1-800-829-4444 canada: 1-877-894-4414

Anticipate — Accelerate — Achieve



Agilent Technologies

Example 1.6.

$$1) \begin{vmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 5 \times 1 - 2 \times 3 = -1.$$

$$2) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 5 & 8 \\ 6 & 9 \end{vmatrix} - 4 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 8 \\ 3 & 9 \end{vmatrix} + 7 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \cdot (-3) - 4 \cdot (-6) + 7 \cdot (-3) = 0. \quad \square$$

Theorem 1.2. A square matrix A is invertible if and only if $\det A \neq 0$.

1.3. Exercises

1. For $n \times n$ matrices A and B prove the following.

$$1) \det(A^T) = \det A.$$

$$2) \det(A \cdot B) = \det(A) \cdot \det(B).$$

$$3) \det(I_n) = 1.$$

$$4) \text{ If } A^{-1} \text{ exists, then } \det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det A}.$$

2. For any invertible matrix A prove the following.

$$1) (A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}. \quad 2) \text{ If } A \text{ is symmetric, then } A^{-1} \text{ is symmetric.}$$

3. Find the determinant of the matrix A . Is A invertible?

$$1) A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad 2) A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 5 & 0 & -2 \\ -3 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Answers: 1) 26, invertible, 2) 41, invertible.

1.4 Systems of Linear Equations

Consider a system of m linear equations with n unknowns:

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2 \\ \dots \\ a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + \dots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

It can be written in matrix form $AX = B$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \dots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \dots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

A system of the form (1) is called **homogeneous**, if $B = \mathbf{0}$.

Cramer's Rule. If $m = n$ and $\det A \neq 0$, then the system (1) has a unique solution given by:

$x_i = \frac{\Delta_i}{\Delta}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), where $\Delta = \det A$ and Δ_i is the determinant obtained from $\det A$ by replacing the i -th column by the column B .

Theorem 1.3. If $m < n$, then a homogeneous system of m linear equations with n unknowns has a non-zero solution (that is a solution different from $\mathbf{0}$).

Theorem 1.4. Suppose X_0 is a solution of system (1). Then X is a solution of the system (1) $\Leftrightarrow X = X_0 + Y$ for some solution Y of the corresponding homogeneous system $AX = \mathbf{0}$, where all b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m are replaced by zeroes.

1.5 Positive Definite Matrices

A symmetric $n \times n$ -matrix S is called **positive definite** if for any $n \times 1$ -matrix $x \neq \mathbf{0}$,

$$x^T S x > 0.$$

A symmetric $n \times n$ -matrix S is called **non-negative definite** if for any $n \times 1$ -matrix x ,

$$x^T S x \geq 0.$$

A symmetric matrix S is called **negative definite** if the matrix $-S$ is positive definite.

For a square matrix A , a **principal leading minor** of A is the determinant of an upper left corner of A .

So for the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$ the principal leading minors are:

$$\Delta_1 = a_{11}, \quad \Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix}, \quad \Delta_3 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}, \dots, \quad \Delta_n = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Sylvester Criterion. A symmetric matrix S is positive definite if and only if each principal leading minor of S is positive.

Example 1.7. Determine whether the matrix S is positive definite, negative definite or neither.

$$1) S = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 2) S = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 3) S = \begin{bmatrix} -9 & 5 & 6 \\ 5 & -5 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & -10 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 4) S = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution

We will use the Sylvester criterion.

1) The principal leading minors of S are: $\Delta_1 = 5 > 0$ and $\Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 > 0$. They are both positive, hence the matrix S is positive definite.

2) The principal leading minors of S are: $\Delta_1 = 3 > 0$, $\Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 5 > 0$, and

$\Delta_3 = \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 \end{vmatrix} = 10 > 0$. They are all positive, hence the matrix S is positive definite.

Need help with your dissertation?

Get in-depth feedback & advice from experts in your topic area. Find out what you can do to improve the quality of your dissertation!

Get Help Now



Go to www.helpmyassignment.co.uk for more info

 **Helpmyassignment**

Please click the advert

3) The first leading minor is $-9 < 0$, so the matrix S is not positive definite.

To check whether it is negative definite, consider the matrix $-S = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -5 & -6 \\ -5 & 5 & 0 \\ -6 & 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$.

For this matrix $\Delta_1 = 9 > 0$, $\Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 9 & -5 \\ -5 & 5 \end{vmatrix} = 20 > 0$, and $\Delta_3 = \begin{vmatrix} 9 & -5 & -6 \\ -5 & 5 & 0 \\ -6 & 0 & 10 \end{vmatrix} = 20 > 0$.

The matrix $-S$ is positive definite, so S is negative definite.

4) $\Delta_3 = \begin{vmatrix} 6 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0$. Hence the matrix S is neither positive definite, nor negative definite.

One can also check that for $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$, $x^T S x = 0$. \square

Theorem 1.5. If an $n \times n$ -matrix S is positive definite, then it is invertible and S^{-1} is also positive definite.

Proof

By the Sylvester criterion $\det S > 0$, so S is invertible by Theorem 1.2.

Consider an $n \times 1$ -matrix $x \neq \mathbf{0}$ and denote $y = S^{-1}x$. Then y is also an $n \times 1$ -matrix. If $y = \mathbf{0}$, then $S^{-1}x = \mathbf{0}$, $S(S^{-1}x) = \mathbf{0}$ and $x = \mathbf{0}$. Contradiction. Hence $y \neq \mathbf{0}$.

S is symmetric, so S^{-1} is also symmetric. $y^T S y = (S^{-1}x)^T S (S^{-1}x) = x^T (S^{-1})^T I_n x = x^T S^{-1} x$. So $x^T S^{-1}x = y^T S y > 0$ because S is positive definite. Therefore S^{-1} is positive definite. \square

1.6 Hyperbola

Standard hyperbola is the curve on (x, y) -plane given by an equation of the form: $\frac{x^2}{a^2} - \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$.




Figure 1.1. Standard hyperbola

- The parameters of the hyperbola are a^2 and b^2 .
- The centre is at the point $(0, 0)$.
- The vertices are $v_1(a, 0)$ and $v_2(-a, 0)$.
- The asymptotes of the hyperbola are given by the equations: $y = \pm \frac{b}{a} x$.

Vertically translated hyperbola is given by an equation of the form: $\frac{x^2}{a^2} - \frac{(y - y_0)^2}{b^2} = 1$.





Figure 1.2. Vertically translated hyperbola

- The parameters of the hyperbola are a^2 and b^2 .
- The centre is at the point $(0, y_0)$.
- The vertices are $v_1(a, y_0)$ and $v_2(-a, y_0)$.
- The asymptotes of the hyperbola are given by the equations: $y - y_0 = \pm \frac{b}{a} x$.

More details on hyperbola and curves of second degree can be found in textbooks on analytic geometry; see, for example, Riddle (1995), and Il'in and Poznyak (1985).

Free online Magazines



Click here to download 
SpeakMagazines.com

Please click the advert

2 Orthogonal Projection

2.1 Orthogonal Projection onto a Subspace

Denote \mathbf{R} the set of all real numbers. Denote \mathbf{R}^n the set of all ordered sequences of real numbers of length n .

A non-empty set L with operations of addition and multiplication by a real number is called a **linear space** if it satisfies the following 10 axioms:

for any $x, y, z \in L$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$:


- 1) $(x + y) \in L$;
- 2) $\lambda x \in L$;
- 3) $x + y = y + x$;
- 4) $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$;
- 5) there exists an element $\mathbf{0} \in L$ such that $(\forall x \in L)(\mathbf{0} + x = x)$;
- 6) for any $x \in L$ there exists $-x \in L$ such that $-x + x = \mathbf{0}$;
- 7) $1 \cdot x = x$;
- 8) $(\lambda \mu) x = \lambda(\mu x)$;
- 9) $(\lambda + \mu) x = \lambda x + \mu x$;
- 10) $\lambda(x + y) = \lambda x + \lambda y$.

In this chapter we consider a Euclidean space L , that is a linear space with scalar product. In this space (x, y) denotes the scalar product of vectors x and y ; $\|x\| = \sqrt{(x, x)}$ is the norm of the vector x .

Vectors x and y are called **orthogonal** ($x \perp y$) if the scalar product $(x, y) = 0$.

Suppose x is a vector in L and W is a linear subspace of L . A vector z is called the **orthogonal projection** of x onto W if $z \in W$ and $(x - z) \perp W$.

Then z is denoted $Proj_W x$.

**Theorem 2.1.**


- 1) $Proj_W x$ is the closest to x vector in W and it is the only vector with this property.
- 2) If v_1, \dots, v_n is an orthogonal basis in W , then

$$Proj_W x = \frac{(x, v_1)}{(v_1, v_1)} v_1 + \dots + \frac{(x, v_n)}{(v_n, v_n)} v_n.$$

2.2 Orthogonal Projection onto a Vector

The **orthogonal projection** of a vector x onto a vector y is $Proj_W x$, where $W = \{ty \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. This projection is denoted $Proj_y x$.

The length of $Proj_y x$ is called the **orthogonal scalar projection** of x onto y and is denoted $proj_y x$.



$$proj_y x = \frac{(x, y)}{\|y\|}; \quad Proj_y x = proj_y x \cdot \frac{y}{\|y\|}.$$

2.3 Minimal Property of Orthogonal Projection

A subset Q of a linear space B is called an **affine subspace** of B if there is $q \in Q$ and a linear subspace W of B such that $Q = \{q + w \mid w \in W\}$. Then W is called the corresponding linear subspace.


It is easy to check that any vector in Q can be taken as q .

Lemma 2.1. Consider a consistent system of m linear equations with n unknowns in its matrix form: $AX = B$. The set of all solutions of the system $AX = B$ is an affine subspace of \mathbf{R}^n and its corresponding linear subspace is the set of all solutions of the homogeneous system $AX = \mathbf{0}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $Q = \{q + w \mid w \in W\}$ be an affine subspace of L . Then the vector in Q with smallest length is unique and is given by the formula:

$$x_{\min} = q - \text{Proj}_W q.$$

Proof



Denote $z = \text{Proj}_W q$, then $x_{\min} = q - z$.

Consider any vector $y \in Q$. For some $w \in W$, $y = q + w$. By Theorem 2.1.1), z is the vector in W closest to q and $-w \in W$, so we have

$$\|y\| = \|q - (-w)\| \geq \|q - z\| = \|x_{\min}\|.$$

The equality holds only when $-w = z$, that is when $y = q + w = q - z = x_{\min}$.

Since x_{\min} is unique, it does not depend on the choice of q . \square

3 Random Variables

3.1 Numerical Characteristics of a Random Variable

Consider a **probability space** $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ where Ω is a sample space of elementary events (outcomes), \mathfrak{F} is a σ -field of events and P is a probability measure on the pair (Ω, \mathfrak{F}) . We will fix the probability space for the rest of the chapter.

- A function $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called a **random variable** if for any real number x , $\{\omega \in \Omega \mid X(\omega) \leq x\} \in \mathfrak{F}$.
- The **distribution function** F of a random variable X is defined by $F(x) = P\{X \leq x\}$ for any real number x .

- A random variable X is called **discrete** if the set of its possible values is finite or countable.



You're full of *energy*
and ideas. And that's
just what we are looking for.

Looking for a career where your ideas could really make a difference? UBS's Graduate Programme and internships are a chance for you to experience for yourself what it's like to be part of a global team that rewards your input and believes in succeeding together.

Wherever you are in your academic career, make your future a part of ours by visiting www.ubs.com/graduates.

www.ubs.com/graduates



© UBS 2010. All rights reserved.

Please click the advert

- A function f is called the **density function** of a random variable X if for any real number x :

$$f(x) \geq 0 \text{ and } F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(t) dt \text{ for the distribution function } F \text{ of } X.$$

- A random variable X is called **continuous** if it has a density function.

The **distribution table** of the discrete variable X is the table

x_1	x_2	x_3	\dots
p_1	p_2	p_3	\dots

(2)

where x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots are all possible values of X and $p_i = P(X = x_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Example 3.1. A player rolls a fair die. He wins \$1 if a three turns up, he wins \$5 if a four turns up and he wins nothing otherwise. Denote X the value of a win.

Here the sample space is $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. \mathfrak{I} is the set of all subsets of Ω . The function X is defined by: $X(1) = X(2) = X(5) = X(6) = 0$, $X(3) = 1$, $X(4) = 5$.

Clearly X is a discrete random variable.

Since the die is fair, the probability of getting any of the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 equals $\frac{1}{6}$. This is the distribution table of X :

x_i	0	1	5
p_i	$\frac{4}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$

□

Define a binary relation \sim for random variables: $X \sim Y$ if $P\{\omega \mid X(\omega) \neq Y(\omega)\} = 0$. Next two lemmas are about this binary relation.

Lemma 3.1. The defined relation \sim is an equivalence relation on random variables.

Proof

- For any random variable X , $X \sim X$ because $\{\omega \mid X(\omega) \neq X(\omega)\} = \emptyset$, the empty set. So \sim is reflexive.
- For any random variables X and Y , $X \sim Y$ implies $Y \sim X$ because $\{\omega \mid X(\omega) \neq Y(\omega)\} = \{\omega \mid Y(\omega) \neq X(\omega)\}$. So \sim is symmetric.

c) Assume that for random variables X, Y, Z , $X \sim Y$ and $Y \sim Z$. Then

$$\{\omega \mid X(\omega) \neq Z(\omega)\} \subseteq \{\omega \mid X(\omega) \neq Y(\omega)\} \cup \{\omega \mid Y(\omega) \neq Z(\omega)\} \text{ and}$$

$$0 \leq P\{\omega \mid X(\omega) \neq Z(\omega)\} \leq P\{\omega \mid X(\omega) \neq Y(\omega)\} + P\{\omega \mid Y(\omega) \neq Z(\omega)\} = 0 + 0 = 0. \text{ So } \sim \text{ is transitive. } \square$$

In other words, two random variables X and Y are **equivalent** ($X \sim Y$) if they are equal with probability 1.

Lemma 3.2.

- 1) For any random variables X_1, X_2 and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$: $X_1 \sim X_2 \Rightarrow (\lambda X_1) \sim (\lambda X_2)$.
- 2) For any random variables X_1, X_2, Y : $X_1 \sim X_2 \Rightarrow (X_1 + Y) \sim (X_2 + Y)$.
- 3) For any random variables X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 : $X_1 \sim X_2 \text{ \& } Y_1 \sim Y_2 \Rightarrow (X_1 + Y_1) \sim (X_2 + Y_2)$.

Proof

- 1) is obvious.
- 2) follows from the equality $\{\omega \mid X_1(\omega) + Y(\omega) \neq X_2(\omega) + Y(\omega)\} = \{\omega \mid X_1(\omega) \neq X_2(\omega)\}$.
- 3) follows from 2) and the fact that \sim is an equivalence relation. \square

Denote $[X]$ the equivalence class of a random variable X .

Operations of addition and multiplication by a real number on equivalence classes are given by the following: $[X] + [Y] = [X + Y]$ and $\lambda \cdot [X] = [\lambda X]$.

Lemma 3.2 makes these definitions valid.

In the rest of the book we will use the notation X instead of $[X]$ for brevity remembering that equivalent random variables are considered equal.

- The **expected value** of a discrete random variable X with possible values x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots is

$$E(X) = \sum_i x_i P(X = x_i).$$

- The **expected value** of a continuous random variable X with density function f is

$$E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) dx.$$

- Expected value is also called **expectation** or **mean value**.
- $E(X)$ is also denoted μ_X or μ .

- The **variance** of the random variable X is $\text{Var}(X) = E[(X - \mu_X)^2]$.
- The **standard deviation** of the random variable X is $\sigma_X = \sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}$. It is also denoted $\sigma(X)$.

Thus, $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma_X^2$. For the discrete random variable X with distribution table (2):

$$\text{Var}(X) = \sum_i (x_i - \mu_X)^2 p_i.$$

Both variance and standard deviation are measures of spread of the random variable.

Example 3.2. Find the expected value, variance and standard deviation of the random variable from Example 3.1.

Solution

$$E(X) = \sum_i x_i P(X = x_i) = 0 \cdot \frac{4}{6} + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 5 \cdot \frac{1}{6} = 1.$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = (0 - 1)^2 \cdot \frac{4}{6} + (1 - 1)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + (5 - 1)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{10}{3}. \quad \sigma_X = \sqrt{\frac{10}{3}}. \quad \square$$

Please click the advert



Discover the truth at www.deloitte.ca/careers

Deloitte.

© Deloitte & Touche LLP and affiliated entities.

Properties of expectation. For any random variables X , Y and real number c :

- $E(cX) = cE(X)$;
- $E(c) = c$;
- $E(X + Y) = E(X) + E(Y)$;
- $X \geq 0 \Rightarrow E(X) \geq 0$;
- $X \leq Y \Rightarrow E(X) \leq E(Y)$.

Properties of variance. For any random variable X and real number c :

- $Var(X) \geq 0$;
- $Var(c) = 0$;
- $Var(X + c) = Var(X)$;
- $Var(cX) = c^2 Var(X)$;
- $Var(X) = E(X^2) - (EX)^2$.

Properties of standard deviation. For any random variable X and real number c :

- $\sigma(X) \geq 0$;
- $\sigma(c) = 0$;
- $\sigma(X + c) = \sigma(X)$;
- $\sigma(cX) = |c| \sigma(X)$.

Example 3.3. The random variable X has expectation of -3 and standard deviation of 2 . Calculate the following:

1) $E(2X)$, 2) $E(-3X)$, 3) $E(-X)$, 4) $Var(2X)$, 5) $Var(-3X)$, 6) $Var(-X)$, 7) $\sigma(2X)$, 8) $\sigma(-3X)$, 9) $\sigma(-X)$.

Solution

$E(X) = -3$ and $\sigma = \sigma_X = 2$. $Var(X) = \sigma^2 = 4$.

$$1) E(2X) = 2 E(X) = 2 \cdot (-3) = -6.$$

$$3) E(-X) = -E(X) = 3.$$

$$5) Var(-3X) = (-3)^2 \cdot Var(X) = 36.$$

$$7) \sigma(2X) = \sqrt{Var(2X)} = \sqrt{16} = 4.$$

$$9) \sigma(-X) = \sqrt{Var(-X)} = \sqrt{4} = 2. \quad \square$$

$$2) E(-3X) = -3 E(X) = -3 \cdot (-3) = 9.$$

$$4) Var(2X) = 2^2 \cdot Var(X) = 16.$$

$$6) Var(-X) = (-1)^2 \cdot Var(X) = 4.$$

$$8) \sigma(-3X) = \sqrt{Var(-3X)} = \sqrt{36} = 6.$$

3.2 Covariance and Correlation Coefficient

The **covariance** of random variables X and Y is $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E[(X - \mu_X)(Y - \mu_Y)]$.

The **correlation coefficient** of random variables X and Y is $\rho_{X,Y} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$.

Correlation coefficient is the normalised covariance.

Random variables X and Y are called **independent** if for any $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$:

$$P(X \leq x \text{ and } Y \leq y) = P(X \leq x) \cdot P(Y \leq y).$$

Properties of covariance. For any random variables X, Y, Z and real number c :

- $\text{Cov}(Y, X) = \text{Cov}(X, Y)$;
- $\text{Cov}(X, X) = \text{Var}(X)$;
- $\text{Cov}(X, c) = 0$;
- $\text{Cov}(X, Y + c) = \text{Cov}(X, Y)$;
- $\text{Cov}(X, cY) = c \text{Cov}(X, Y)$;
- $\text{Cov}(X, Y + Z) = \text{Cov}(X, Y) + \text{Cov}(X, Z)$;
- $|\text{Cov}(X, Y)| \leq \sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Y$;
- $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X) \cdot E(Y)$;
- $\text{Var}(X + Y) = \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y) + 2 \text{Cov}(X, Y)$;
- if X and Y are independent variables, then $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(X + Y) = \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y)$.

Properties of correlation coefficient. For any random variables X and Y :

- $\rho_{Y,X} = \rho_{X,Y}$;
- $\rho_{X,X} = 1$;
- $-1 \leq \rho_{X,Y} \leq 1$;
- if X and Y are independent, then $\rho_{X,Y} = 0$.

Example 3.4. Random variables X and Y have the following parameters:

$$E(X) = 15, \sigma(X) = 3, E(Y) = -10, \sigma(Y) = 2, \text{Cov}(X, Y) = 1.$$

For $Z = 2X + 5Y$ calculate the following: 1) $E(Z)$, 2) $\text{Var}(Z)$, 3) $\sigma(Z)$.

Solution

$$\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2(X) = 9, \text{Var}(Y) = \sigma^2(Y) = 4.$$

- 1) $E(Z) = 2E(X) + 5E(Y) = 2 \cdot 15 - 5 \cdot 10 = -20.$
- 2) $\text{Var}(Z) = \text{Var}(2X) + \text{Var}(5Y) + 2 \text{Cov}(2X, 5Y) = 2^2 \text{Var}(X) + 5^2 \text{Var}(Y) + 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \text{Cov}(X, Y) = 2^2 \cdot 9 + 5^2 \cdot 4 + 20 \cdot 1 = 156.$
- 3) $\sigma(Z) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z)} = \sqrt{156} \cdot \square$

Example 3.5. Random variables X and Y have the following parameters:

$$E(X) = 15, \sigma(X) = 3, E(Y) = -10, \sigma(Y) = 2, \text{Cov}(X, Y) = 1.$$

For $Z = X - Y$ calculate the following: 1) $E(Z)$, 2) $\text{Var}(Z)$, 3) $\sigma(Z)$.

Solution

- 1) $E(Z) = E(X) - E(Y) = 15 + 10 = 25.$
- 2) $\text{Var}(Z) = \text{Var}(X + (-Y)) = \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(-Y) + 2\text{Cov}(X, -Y) = \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y) - 2\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 9 + 4 - 2 \cdot 1 = 11.$
- 3) $\sigma(Z) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z)} = \sqrt{11} \cdot \square$

Please click the advert



Stafford
associates

Find your next education here!

Click here

bookboon.com/blog/subsites/stafford

Example 3.6. Random variables X and Y have the following parameters:

$$E(X) = 15, \sigma(X) = 3, E(Y) = -10, \sigma(Y) = 2, \text{Cov}(X, Y) = 1.$$

For $Z = 2X - 5Y$ calculate the following: 1) $E(Z)$, 2) $\text{Var}(Z)$, 3) $\sigma(Z)$.

Solution

- 1) $E(Z) = 2E(X) - 5E(Y) = 2 \cdot 15 + 5 \cdot 10 = 80.$
- 2) $\text{Var}(Z) = \text{Var}(2X + (-5)Y) = \text{Var}(2X) + \text{Var}(-5Y) + 2 \text{Cov}(2X, -5Y) =$
 $= 2^2 \text{Var}(X) + (5)^2 \text{Var}(Y) - 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \text{Cov}(X, Y) = 2^2 \cdot 9 + 5^2 \cdot 4 - 20 \cdot 1 = 116.$
- 3) $\sigma(Z) = \sqrt{\text{Var}(Z)} = \sqrt{116} \cdot \square$

3.3 Covariance Matrix

- A set of real numbers $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ is called **trivial** if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$.
- A group of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is called **linearly dependent** if for some non-trivial set of real numbers $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$,

$$(\lambda_1 X_1 + \lambda_2 X_2 + \dots + \lambda_n X_n) \text{ is constant.}$$

- A group of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is called **linearly independent** if it is not linearly dependent.

Lemma 3.3.

- 1) If random variables X and Y are independent, then they are linearly independent.
- 2) The inverse is not true.
- 3) X and Y are linearly dependent $\Leftrightarrow |\text{Cov}(X, Y)| = \sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Y$.

For random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , denote $\sigma_{ij} = \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)$. The matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \dots & \sigma_{1n} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \dots & \sigma_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sigma_{n1} & \sigma_{n2} & \dots & \sigma_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \text{ is called the } \mathbf{\text{covariance matrix}} \text{ of } X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n.$$

Properties of covariance matrix.

Suppose S is the covariance matrix of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . Then

- S is symmetric;
- S is non-negative definite;
- X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are linearly dependent $\Leftrightarrow \det S = 0$;
- X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are linearly independent $\Leftrightarrow \det S > 0 \Leftrightarrow S$ is positive definite (see the definition in Section 1.5).

Please click the advert

It's only an opportunity if you act on it

IKEA.SE/STUDENT

© Inter IKEA Systems B.V. 2009

The advertisement features several circular buttons with the following text: "Reduce", "WORK WITH US", "to gether ness", "save water. Shower together", "everyone deserves good design", and a button with various national flags. A red lamp is also visible. The IKEA logo is in the bottom left corner.

4 Regression

4.1 Euclidean Space of Random Variables

Define $H = \{X \mid X \text{ is a random variable on } (\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P) \text{ and } E(X^2) < \infty\}$. Thus, H is the set of all random variables on the probability space, whose squares have finite expectations. A similar approach is used in the textbook by Grimmett and Stirzaker (2004).

Lemma 4.1.

- 1) For any $X \in H$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$: $E(X^2) < \infty \Rightarrow E[(\lambda X)^2] < \infty$.
- 2) For any $X, Y \in H$: $E(X^2) < \infty$ & $E(Y^2) < \infty \Rightarrow E[(X + Y)^2] < \infty$.

Proof

- 1) It follows from the fact that $E[(\lambda X)^2] = \lambda^2 E(X^2)$.
- 2) Since $2XY \leq X^2 + Y^2$, we have $0 \leq (X + Y)^2 = X^2 + Y^2 + 2XY \leq 2X^2 + 2Y^2$ and this implies $E[(X + Y)^2] < \infty$. \square

Lemma 4.1 shows that the set H is closed under the operations of addition and multiplication by a real number.

Theorem 4.1. The set H (where equivalent random variables are considered equal) with the operations of addition and multiplication by a real number is a linear space.

Proof

H is a linear space means it satisfies the following 10 axioms: for any $X, Y, Z \in H$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$,

- 1) $(X + Y) \in H$;
- 2) $\lambda X \in H$;
- 3) $X + Y = Y + X$;
- 4) $(X + Y) + Z = X + (Y + Z)$;
- 5) there exists an element $\mathbf{0} \in H$ such that $(\forall X \in H)(\mathbf{0} + X = X)$;
- 6) for any $X \in H$ there exists $-X \in H$ such that $-X + X = \mathbf{0}$;
- 7) $1 \cdot X = X$;
- 8) $(\lambda\mu) X = \lambda(\mu X)$;
- 9) $(\lambda + \mu) X = \lambda X + \mu X$;
- 10) $\lambda(X + Y) = \lambda X + \lambda Y$.

In probability theory it is proven that for any random variables X and Y their sum $X + Y$ is a random variable, and for any real number λ the product λX is also a random variable. Together with Lemma 4.1 this proves the conditions 1) and 2). $\mathbf{0}$ in condition 5) is the random variable that always equals 0. The remaining conditions are quite obvious. \square

For any $X, Y \in H$, define $\varphi(X, Y) = E(XY)$. We have: $E(X^2) < \infty$ and $E(Y^2) < \infty$.

Since $|XY| \leq \frac{1}{2}X^2 + \frac{1}{2}Y^2$, then $E(XY) < \infty$. So the definition $\varphi(X, Y)$ is valid for any $X, Y \in H$.

Theorem 4.2. For any $X, Y \in H$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$,

1. $\varphi(Y, X) = \varphi(X, Y)$;
2. $\varphi(\lambda X, Y) = \lambda \varphi(X, Y)$;
3. $\varphi(X + Y, Z) = \varphi(X, Z) + \varphi(Y, Z)$;
4. $\varphi(X, X) \geq 0$;
5. $\varphi(X, X) = 0 \Rightarrow X = \mathbf{0}$.

Proof

Properties 1–4 follow directly from the definition of φ and properties of expectation.

5. If $\varphi(X, X) = 0$, then $E(X^2) = 0$ and $X = \mathbf{0}$ with probability 1. \square

Theorem 4.2 implies the following.

$(X, Y) = E(XY)$ defines a **scalar product** on the linear space H .
 H with this scalar product is a Euclidean space.

In simple cases we can construct a basis of the linear space H . The following example illustrates that.

Example 4.1. Consider a finite sample space $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n\}$ with the probabilities of the outcomes $p_i = P(\omega_i) > 0, i = 1, \dots, n$. In this case we can introduce a finite orthogonal basis in the Euclidean space H .

For each i define a random variable F_i as follows: $F_i(\omega_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq i. \end{cases}$

Then for any random variable X in H ,

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i F_i, \quad (3)$$

where $x_i = X(\omega_i)$.

For any $i \neq j$, $F_i \cdot F_j = 0$ and $(F_i, F_j) = E(F_i \cdot F_j) = 0$, so

$$F_i \perp F_j. \quad (4)$$

(3) and (4) mean that F_1, \dots, F_n make an orthogonal basis in H and the dimension of H is n .

For any $X, Y \in H$, their scalar product equals $(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i y_i$, where $y_i = Y(\omega_i)$. \square

Define **norm** on H by the following: $\|X\| = \sqrt{(X, X)}$ for any $X \in H$.

Define **distance** in H by the following: $d(X, Y) = \|X - Y\|$ for any $X, Y \in H$.

Since $d(X, Y) = \sqrt{E(X - Y)^2}$, the distance between two random variables X and Y is the average difference between their values.

Denote I the random variable that equals 1 with probability 1:

$$I(\omega) = 1 \text{ for any } \omega \in \Omega.$$

We will call I the **unit variable**.

Please click the advert

YOUR CHANCE TO CHANGE THE WORLD

Here at Ericsson we have a deep rooted belief that the innovations we make on a daily basis can have a profound effect on making the world a better place for people, business and society. Join us.

In Germany we are especially looking for graduates as Integration Engineers for

- Radio Access and IP Networks
- IMS and IPTV

We are looking forward to getting your application! To apply and for all current job openings please visit our web page: www.ericsson.com/careers

ericsson.
com



Since $I^2 = I$ and $E(I) = 1$, we have $I \in H$.

Lemma 4.2. For any $X \in H$, $E(X)$ and $Var(X)$ are defined.

Proof

Consider $X \in H$. The scalar product (X, I) is defined, since $I \in H$. $(X, I) = E(XI) = E(X)$, so $E(X)$ is defined. Since $X \in H$, $E(X^2)$ is defined. Therefore $Var(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2$ is defined. \square

Lemma 4.3. Let $X \in H$ have parameters $E(X) = \mu$ and $Var(X) = \sigma^2$, $\sigma \geq 0$. Then

$$1) \|X\| = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \mu^2}; 2) \|X - \mu\| = \sigma.$$

Proof

- 1) $\|X\|^2 = (X, X) = E(X^2) = Var(X) + E(X)^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$, so $\|X\| = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \mu^2}$.
- 2) follows from 1) because $E(X - \mu) = 0$ and $Var(X - \mu) = \sigma^2$. \square

Example 4.2. Suppose $X \in H$, $E(X) = -2$ and $Var(X) = 5$. Then by Lemma 4.3:

$$\|X\| = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \mu^2} = \sqrt{5 + (-2)^2} = 3 \text{ and } \|X + 2\| = \|X - \mu\| = \sigma = \sqrt{5}. \square$$

$\angle(X, Y)$ denotes the **angle** between random variables X and Y .

X and Y are called **orthogonal** ($X \perp Y$) if $\angle(X, Y) = 90^\circ$.

Lemma 4.4. Suppose $X, Y \in H$ and they have the following parameters:

$$E(X) = \mu_1, Var(X) = \sigma_1^2, E(Y) = \mu_2, Var(Y) = \sigma_2^2.$$

Then:

- 1) $(X, Y) = Cov(X, Y) + \mu_1 \mu_2$;
- 2) if $X \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $Y \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $\cos \angle(X, Y) = \frac{Cov(X, Y) + \mu_1 \mu_2}{\sqrt{\mu_1^2 + \sigma_1^2} \cdot \sqrt{\mu_2^2 + \sigma_2^2}}$;
- 3) $\cos \angle(X - \mu_1, Y - \mu_2) = \rho_{X,Y}$, the correlation coefficient of X and Y ;
- 4) $X \perp Y \Leftrightarrow Cov(X, Y) = -\mu_1 \mu_2$;
- 5) if X and Y are independent, then $(X, Y) = \mu_1 \mu_2$.

Proof

- 1) follows from a property of covariance: $Cov(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y) = (X, Y) - \mu_1 \mu_2$.
- 2) By the definition $Cos \angle(X, Y) = \frac{(X, Y)}{\|X\| \cdot \|Y\|}$ and the rest follows from 1) and Lemma 4.3.1).
- 3) $Cos \angle(X - \mu_1, Y - \mu_2) = \frac{(X - \mu_1, Y - \mu_2)}{\|X - \mu_1\| \cdot \|Y - \mu_2\|} = \frac{E((X - \mu_1)(Y - \mu_2))}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \rho_{X,Y}$ by Lemma 4.3.2).
- 4) $X \perp Y \Leftrightarrow (X, Y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Cov(X, Y) + \mu_1 \mu_2 = 0$ by 1).
- 5) follows from 1) because the covariance of independent random variables equals 0. \square

Example 4.3. Suppose $X, Y \in H$ and they have the following parameters:

$$E(X) = 2, Var(X) = 4, E(Y) = 4, Var(Y) = 9, Cov(X, Y) = -2.$$

Then by Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4:

- 1) $(X, Y) = Cov(X, Y) + \mu_1 \mu_2 = -2 + 2 \cdot 4, (X, Y) = 6;$
- 2) $\|X\| = \sqrt{4 + 2^2}, \|X\| = \sqrt{8}; \|Y\| = \sqrt{9 + 4^2}, \|Y\| = 5;$
- 3) $Cos \angle(X, Y) = \frac{(X, Y)}{\|X\| \cdot \|Y\|} = \frac{6}{\sqrt{8} \cdot 5} = \frac{3}{5\sqrt{2}}, \angle(X, Y) = \arccos\left(\frac{3}{5\sqrt{2}}\right) \approx 64.9^\circ;$
- 4) $Cos \angle(X - 2, Y - 4) = \rho_{X,Y} = \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{4} \cdot \sqrt{9}} = \frac{-2}{2 \cdot 3} = -\frac{1}{3},$
 $\angle(X - 2, Y - 4) = \arccos\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right) \approx 109.5^\circ. \square$

Example 4.4. Suppose $X, Y \in H, E(X) = 2, E(Y) = 5$ and $X \perp Y$. Find the covariance of X and Y .

Solution

By Lemma 4.4.4), $Cov(X, Y) = -\mu_1 \mu_2 = -2 \cdot 5 = -10. \square$

Lemma 4.5. Let $X, Y \in H$. If X and Y are independent random variables, then $\|XY\| = \|X\| \cdot \|Y\|$.

Proof

X^2 and Y^2 are also independent, so $E(X^2 Y^2) = E(X^2) E(Y^2)$ and $\|XY\|^2 = E(X^2 Y^2) =$

$= E(X^2) E(Y^2) = \|X\|^2 \cdot \|Y\|^2$. Hence $\|XY\| = \|X\| \cdot \|Y\|$. \square

Lemma 4.6. 1) $E(I) = 1$. 2) $\text{Var}(I) = 0$. 3) $(I, I) = 1$. 4) $\|I\| = 1$.

For any $X \in H$ with $E(X) = \mu$:

5) $\text{Cov}(X, I) = 0$, 6) $(X, I) = \mu$, 7) $\text{proj}_I X = \mu$, 8) $\text{Proj}_I X = \mu I$.

Proof

1) and 2) are obvious.

3), 4) Since $I^2 = I$, we have $(I, I) = E(I^2) = 1$ and $\|I\| = 1$.

5) follows from a property of covariance.

6) $(X, I) = E(X \cdot I) = E(X)$.

Please click the advert

I joined MITAS because
I wanted **real responsibility**

The Graduate Programme
for Engineers and Geoscientists
Maersk.com/Mitas



Month 16

I was a construction
supervisor in
the North Sea
advising and
helping foremen
solve problems

Real work
International opportunities
Three work placements



 **MAERSK**

7) The scalar projection of X onto I is $proj_I X = \frac{(X, I)}{\|I\|} = \frac{\mu}{1} = \mu$.

8) The vector projection of X onto I is $Proj_I X = proj_I X \cdot I = \mu I$. \square

4.2 Regression

Regression means “estimating an inaccessible random variable Y in terms of an accessible random variable X ” (Hsu, 1997), that is finding a function $f(X)$ “closest” to Y . $f(X)$ can be restricted to a certain class of functions, the most common being the class of linear functions. We describe “closest” in terms of the distance d defined in Section 4.1.

Theorem 2.1 shows that $Proj_W Y$ is the vector in subspace W that minimizes distance $d(Y, U)$ from the fixed vector Y to vector U in W . In statistical terms, $Proj_W Y$ minimizes the **mean square error** $E((Y-U)^2) = d^2(Y, U)$ for vector U in W .

Theorem 4.3. The conditional expectation $E(Y | X)$ is the function of X closest to Y .

Proof

It is based on the following fact:

$$E(Y | X) = Proj_W Y$$

for $W = \{f(X) \mid f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \text{ and } f(X) \in H\}$.

Grimmett & Stirzaker (2004) prove this fact by showing that $E(Y | X) \in W$ and that for any $h(X) \in W$, $E[(Y - E(Y | X)) \cdot h(X)] = 0$, that is $(Y - E(Y | X)) \perp h(X)$. \square

By choosing different W in Theorem 2.1 we can get different types of regression: simple linear, multiple linear, quadratic, polynomial, etc.

4.3 Regression to a Constant

When we want to estimate a random variable Y by a constant, we use a subspace $W = \{aI \mid a \in \mathbf{R}\}$ of the space H .

Theorem 4.4. For any $Y \in H$ with $E(Y) = \mu$:

- 1) $Proj_W Y = \mu I$, we denote μI as μ ;
- 2) μ is the constant closest to Y .

Proof

1) By Lemma 4.6.8), $\text{Proj}_I Y = \mu I$. So $(Y - \mu I) \perp I$ and $(Y - \mu I, I) = 0$.

For any vector $aI \in W$, $(Y - \mu I, aI) = a(Y - \mu I, I) = 0$, so $(Y - \mu I) \perp aI$. By the definition of orthogonal projection, $\mu I = \text{Proj}_W Y$.

2) By Theorem 2.1, $\text{Proj}_W Y = \mu I$ is the vector in W closest to Y , and W is the set of constant random variables. So μI is the constant random variable closest to Y . \square

Theorem 4.4 shows that the expectation $E(Y)$ is the best constant estimator for the random variable Y .

4.4 Simple Linear Regression

Theorem 4.5. If $\sigma_X \neq 0$, then the linear function of X closest to Y is given by

$$\alpha + \beta X, \text{ where } \begin{cases} \beta = \frac{\text{Cov}(Y, X)}{\sigma_X^2}, \\ \alpha = \mu_Y - \beta \mu_X. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Proof

Denote $W = \{a + bX \mid a, b \in \mathbf{R}\}$. Since $\text{Proj}_W Y \in W$, we have $\text{Proj}_W Y = \alpha + \beta X$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$. We just need to show that α and β are given by the formula (5).

For $\varepsilon = Y - \text{Proj}_W Y = Y - (\alpha + \beta X)$, we have $\varepsilon \perp 1$ and $\varepsilon \perp X$, since $1, X \in W$.

So $(\varepsilon, 1) = 0$ and $(\varepsilon, X) = 0$, $(\alpha + \beta X, 1) = (Y, 1)$ and $(\alpha + \beta X, X) = (Y, X)$, which leads to a system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} E(\alpha + \beta X) = E(Y) \\ E(\alpha X + \beta X^2) = E(YX) \end{cases} \text{ and } \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta \mu_X = \mu_Y \\ \alpha \mu_X + \beta E(X^2) = E(YX) \end{cases}.$$

Subtract the first equation multiplied by μ_X from the second equation:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha + \beta \mu_X = \mu_Y \\ \beta [(X, X) - \mu_X^2] = (Y, X) - \mu_Y \mu_X \end{cases}.$$

Since $(X, X) - \mu_X^2 = E(X^2) - \mu_X^2 = \sigma_X^2$ and $(Y, X) - \mu_Y \mu_X = \text{Cov}(Y, X)$, we get

$$\begin{cases} \alpha + \mu_X \beta = \mu_Y \\ \sigma_X^2 \beta = \text{Cov}(Y, X) \end{cases}.$$

The solution of this system is given by (5). \square

Corollary. Denote $\hat{Y} = \alpha + \beta X$ the best linear estimator of Y from Theorem 4.5. The corresponding residual $\varepsilon = Y - \hat{Y}$ has the following properties:

$$1) \mu_{\varepsilon} = 0, 2) \text{Cov}(\varepsilon, X) = 0.$$

Proof

$$1) \varepsilon \perp 1, \text{ so } E(\varepsilon) = 0.$$

$$2) \varepsilon \perp X, \text{ so } E(\varepsilon X) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Cov}(\varepsilon, X) = E(\varepsilon X) - E(\varepsilon) \cdot E(X) = 0. \quad \square$$

According to the Corollary, the residuals (estimation errors) equal 0 on average and are uncorrelated with the predictor X ; this is another evidence that \hat{Y} is the best linear estimator of Y .

Example 4.5. Create a linear regression model for a response variable Y versus a predictor variable X if $\mu_X = 2$, $\sigma_X = 1$, $\mu_Y = 3$ and $\text{Cov}(Y, X) = -2$.

Solution

According to Theorem 4.5, $\beta = \frac{-2}{1^2}$, $\beta = -2$ and $\alpha = 3 - 2 \cdot (-2)$, $\alpha = 7$.

Hence $\hat{Y} = 7 - 2X$ is the linear function of X closest to Y ; $Y = 7 - 2X + \varepsilon$. \square

4.4. Exercise

1. Create a linear regression model for a response variable Y versus a predictor variable X if $\mu_X = 5$, $\sigma_X = 0.5$, $\mu_Y = 3$, $\sigma_Y = 0.2$ and $\rho_{X,Y} = 0.8$.

Answer: $Y = 1.4 + 0.32 X + \varepsilon$.

Part 2:

Portfolio Analysis


5 Portfolio Modelling

5.1 Terminology


- An **asset** is any possession that has value in an exchange, e.g. a financial asset or a physical asset like a car or a house.
- **Investment** is the creation of more money through the use of capital.
- A **security** is a contract with underlying value that can be bought or sold.
- A **bond** is a security issued or sold by a corporation or a government in order to borrow money from the public on a long-term basis.
- A **share** is a certificate entry representing ownership in a corporation or similar entity.
- **Stock** is ownership of a corporation indicated by shares, which represent a piece of the corporation's assets or earnings.

Example 5.1. The value of an investment increased from \$200 to \$260 in one year.

- 1) What is this investment's return in dollars?
- 2) What is this investment's return in percents?


SIMPLY CLEVER
ŠKODA 

We will turn your CV into an opportunity of a lifetime



Do you like cars? Would you like to be a part of a successful brand?
We will appreciate and reward both your enthusiasm and talent.
Send us your CV. You will be surprised where it can take you.

Send us your CV on
www.employerforlife.com



Solution

- 1) $260 - 200 = \$60$. The \$ return is \$60.
- 2) $\frac{60}{200} = 0.3 = 30\%$. The % return is 30%. \square

A **portfolio** is a group of financial assets held by an investor.

Consider a portfolio consisting of assets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N .

The **proportion** (or **weight**) of asset A_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, N$) in the portfolio equals

$$\frac{\$ \text{ value invested in asset } A_k}{\text{total } \$ \text{ value invested in the portfolio}}.$$

We will represent a portfolio of assets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N as a column $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \dots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix}$, where x_k is the proportion of asset A_k in the portfolio ($k = 1, 2, \dots, N$). Clearly, $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_N = 1$.

Example 5.2. Ben invested \$20 in stock A_1 , \$30 in stock A_2 and \$50 in stock A_3 . What are the stocks' proportions in this portfolio?

Solution

The total \$ value of the portfolio is $\$20 + \$30 + \$50 = \100 . The proportions are:

for stock A_1 : $x_1 = \frac{20}{100} = 0.2$, for stock A_2 : $x_2 = \frac{30}{100} = 0.3$, for stock A_3 : $x_3 = \frac{50}{100} = 0.5$.

So this portfolio is represented with the column $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$. Note that $0.2 + 0.3 + 0.5 = 1$. \square

Example 5.3. Alan invested \$50 in stock A_1 and \$30 in stock A_2 . A_1 has the return of 2% and A_2 has the return of 10% in one year.

- 1) What are the stocks' proportions in this portfolio?
- 2) What is the % return of the portfolio in one year?

Solution

- 1) The total \$ value of the portfolio is $\$50 + \$30 = \$80$.

The proportions are, for stock A_1 : $x_1 = \frac{50}{80} = \frac{5}{8} = 0.625$ and for stock A_2 : $x_2 = \frac{30}{80} = \frac{3}{8} = 0.375$.

So the portfolio is represented with the column $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.625 \\ 0.375 \end{bmatrix}$.

- 2) The \$ return for A_1 equals $\$50 \times 0.02 = \1 , the \$ return for A_2 equals $\$30 \times 0.10 = \3 , and the \$ return for portfolio x equals $\$1 + \$3 = \$4$. The % return for x equals $\frac{4}{80} = 0.05 = 5\%$.

Another way to calculate % return: $0.625 \times 2\% + 0.375 \times 10\% = 5\%$. \square

The % return r from an investment is treated as a random variable.

The **expected return** from the investment is $\mu = E(r)$.

The variance $Var(r)$ and standard deviation σ_r are used as measures of the investment's **risk**.

Example 5.4. The following table shows all possible returns from asset G:

Return from asset G (%)	20	12	4
Probability	0.3	0.5	0.2

For asset G calculate: 1) the expected return, 2) variance, 3) standard deviation.

Solution

Denote r the return from asset G; r is a random variable.

- 1) The expected return is $\mu = E(r) = 20 \times 0.3 + 12 \times 0.5 + 4 \times 0.2 = 12.8\%$.
- 2) The variance is $\sigma^2 = Var(r) = (20-12.8)^2 \times 0.3 + (12-12.8)^2 \times 0.5 + (4-12.8)^2 \times 0.2 = 31.36$.
- 3) The standard deviation is $\sigma = \sqrt{Var(r)} = \sqrt{31.36} = 5.6\%$. \square

Next we fix a group of assets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N and consider only portfolios of these assets. For $k = 1, \dots, N$ denote

- v_k = \$ value of asset A_k in portfolio x ;
- r_k = % return of asset A_k in one year.

The total value of portfolio x equals $\sum_{i=1}^N v_i$ and $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \dots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix}$, where $x_k = \frac{v_k}{\sum_{i=1}^N v_i}$ ($k = 1, \dots, N$).

In one year the \$ return of portfolio x equals $\sum_{k=1}^N r_k v_k$ and the % return of x equals:

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^N r_k v_k}{\sum_{i=1}^N v_i} = \sum_{k=1}^N r_k \frac{v_k}{\sum_{i=1}^N v_i} = \sum_{k=1}^N r_k x_k.$$

In the rest of the book we will use the term return instead of % return for brevity. We will identify any portfolio x with its return and also denote the return by x . Thus, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. For any portfolio x of assets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N ,

$$1) \text{ the return } x = \sum_{k=1}^N x_k r_k ; \quad 2) x_1 + \dots + x_N = 1.$$

5.2 Short Sales

In the previous examples all proportions in the portfolios are positive. However, the case of negative proportions is also possible; it means short sales.

A **short sale** (or **short position**) represents the ability to sell a security that the seller does not own.

Please click the advert

Are you remarkable?

Win one of the six full tuition scholarships for International MBA or MSc in Management





NYENRODE
BUSINESS UNIVERSITEIT
A REWARD FOR LIFE

NYENRODE 
MASTER CHALLENGE
2013 powered by DutchNews.nl

The purpose of it can be profiting from an expected price decline of the asset. In this book we will ignore all transaction costs.

In contrast to a short position, a **long position** involves simply buying and holding an asset. In this case the proportion of the asset in the portfolio is positive.

Example 5.5. Margaret invested \$30 in stock A_1 , \$40 in stock A_2 and uses someone else's stock A_3 , which is worth \$20 (a short sale). What are the stocks' proportions in this portfolio?

Solution

The total \$ value of the portfolio is $30 + 40 - 20 = \$50$. The proportions are:

for stock A_1 : $x_1 = \frac{30}{50} = 0.6$, for stock A_2 : $x_2 = \frac{40}{50} = 0.8$, for stock A_3 : $x_3 = \frac{-20}{50} = -0.4$.

So this portfolio is represented with the column $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 \\ 0.8 \\ -0.4 \end{bmatrix}$. Note that $0.6 + 0.8 - 0.4 = 1$. \square

Example 5.6. John invested \$50 in stock A_1 and uses someone else's stock A_2 , which is worth \$10 (a short sale). What are the stocks' proportions in this portfolio?

Solution

The total \$ value of the portfolio is $50 - 10 = \$40$. The proportions are,

for stock A_1 : $x_1 = \frac{50}{40} = 1.25$, for stock A_2 : $x_2 = \frac{10}{40} = -0.25$.

So this portfolio is represented with the column $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1.25 \\ -0.25 \end{bmatrix}$. Note that $1.25 > 1$. In case of short sales proportions over 1 are possible. \square

5.3 Minimizing Risk

To measure the risk of an investment we will use the standard deviation of its return, since standard deviation expresses the variability of the return values of the investment.

Example 5.7. The following tables show possible returns from assets A_1 and A_2 :

Return from asset A_1	3	8
Probability	0.4	0.6

Return from asset A_2	5	10
Probability	0.8	0.2

Which asset should an investor choose?

Solution

The expected returns are,

for asset A_1 : $\mu_1 = 3 \times 0.4 + 8 \times 0.6 = 6$, for asset A_2 : $\mu_2 = 5 \times 0.8 + 10 \times 0.2 = 6$.

The variances are,

for asset A_1 : $\sigma_1^2 = (3 - 6)^2 \times 0.4 + (8 - 6)^2 \times 0.6 = 6$,

for asset A_2 : $\sigma_2^2 = (5 - 6)^2 \times 0.8 + (10 - 6)^2 \times 0.2 = 4$.

Thus, the assets have the same expected returns. The variance is lower for the asset A_2 and so is the standard deviation. The investor should choose asset A_2 , since it has less risk. \square

Example 5.8. Suppose the returns of assets A_1 and A_2 from Example 5.7 have the covariance $\sigma_{12} = -1$. Find the expected returns and variances of the following portfolios:

$$1) x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 2) y = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4 \\ 0.6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 3) z = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 \\ 1.2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4) Which portfolio is the best?

Solution

We have found parameters of the assets in Example 5.7.

For asset A_1 : $\mu_1 = 6$, $\sigma_1^2 = 6$. For asset A_2 : $\mu_2 = 6$, $\sigma_2^2 = 4$.

$$1) x = 0.5r_1 + 0.5r_2,$$

$$\mu_x = 0.5\mu_1 + 0.5\mu_2 = 0.5 \times 6 + 0.5 \times 6 = 6,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x^2 &= \text{Var}(0.5r_1 + 0.5r_2) = 0.5^2 \sigma_1^2 + 0.5^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \times \text{Cov}(r_1, r_2) \\ &= 0.25 \times 6 + 0.25 \times 4 + 0.5 \times (-1) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

$$2) y = 0.4r_1 + 0.6r_2,$$

$$\mu_y = 0.4\mu_1 + 0.6\mu_2 = 0.4 \times 6 + 0.6 \times 6 = 6,$$

$$\sigma_y^2 = \text{Var}(0.4r_1 + 0.6r_2) = 0.4^2 \times 6 + 0.6^2 \times 4 + 2 \times 0.4 \times 0.6 \times (-1) = 1.92.$$

$$3) z = -0.2r_1 + 1.2r_2,$$

$$\mu_z = -0.2\mu_1 + 1.2\mu_2 = -0.2 \times 6 + 1.2 \times 6 = 6,$$

$$\sigma_z^2 = \text{Var}(0.2r_1 + 1.2r_2) = 0.2^2 \times 6 + 1.2^2 \times 4 - 2 \times 0.2 \times 1.2 \times (-1) = 6.48.$$

4) All three portfolios have the same expected return of 6. Portfolio y has the lowest variance $\sigma_y^2 = 1.92$. Portfolio y is the best, since it has the lowest risk. \square

Example 5.9. Assets A_1 and A_2 have the covariance matrix $S = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$. Find:

- 1) the portfolio of these assets with lowest risk and 2) its variance.

Solution

Consider any portfolio $x = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \\ 1-\gamma \end{bmatrix}$ of the assets A_1 and A_2 . Its return (denoted by the same letter) is $x = \gamma r_1 + (1-\gamma) r_2$ and its variance is $\sigma^2 = \gamma^2 \sigma_1^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2\gamma(1-\gamma) \text{Cov}(r_1, r_2)$.

From the covariance matrix S : $\sigma_1^2 = 2$, $\sigma_2^2 = 3$, $\text{Cov}(r_1, r_2) = \sigma_{12} = -1$.

So $\sigma^2 = 2\gamma^2 + 3(1-\gamma)^2 - 2\gamma(1-\gamma) = 7\gamma^2 - 8\gamma + 3$.

- 1) Denote $f(\gamma) = \sigma^2 = 7\gamma^2 - 8\gamma + 3$. We need to minimize $f(\gamma)$.

$f'(\gamma) = 14\gamma - 8$. The necessary condition is $f'(\gamma) = 0$.

Please click the advert

Budget-Friendly. Knowledge-Rich.

The Agilent InfiniiVision X-Series and 1000 Series offer affordable oscilloscopes for your labs. Plus resources such as lab guides, experiments, and more, to help enrich your curriculum and make your job easier.



Scan for free
Agilent iPhone
Apps or visit
qrs.ly/po20pli



See what Agilent can do for you.
www.agilent.com/find/EducationKit

© Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2012

u.s. 1-800-829-4444 canada: 1-877-894-4414

Anticipate — Accelerate — Achieve



Agilent Technologies

$14\gamma - 8 = 0$, $\gamma = \frac{4}{7}$. $f''(\gamma) = 14 > 0$, so $\gamma = \frac{4}{7}$ is the point of minimum.

The portfolio with lowest risk is $x = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{7} \\ \frac{3}{7} \end{bmatrix}$.

2) Its variance is $\sigma^2 = 7\gamma^2 - 8\gamma + 3 = 7 \cdot \left(\frac{4}{7}\right)^2 - 8 \cdot \frac{4}{7} + 3 = \frac{5}{7}$. \square

5.3. Exercise

1. Two assets have expected returns of 20% and 10%, respectively, and the covariance matrix

$S = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$. Find the portfolio v of these assets with lowest risk, and find its expected return and variance.

Answer: $v = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mu_v = 0$, $\sigma_v^2 = 2$.

5.4 Statistical Parameters of an N-Asset Portfolio

We continue to consider a fixed group of assets A_1, \dots, A_N and portfolios of these assets.

Notations

r_k denotes the return of asset A_k ; $\mu_k = E(r_k)$, $\sigma_k^2 = \text{Var}(r_k)$ and $\sigma_{kj} = \text{Cov}(r_k, r_j)$.

$U = [1 \dots 1]$ is the row of ones of length N .

$M = [\mu_1 \dots \mu_N]$ is the row of the expected asset returns, where not all μ_k are the same. Then the vectors M and U are not proportional.

$S = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \dots & \sigma_{1N} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sigma_{N1} & \dots & \sigma_{NN} \end{bmatrix}$ is the covariance matrix of the asset returns.

We will assume that all expected returns μ_1, \dots, μ_N are defined and the covariance matrix S exists with $\det S > 0$. The positivity of the determinant of S is equivalent to the fact that the random variables r_1, \dots, r_N are linearly independent (see properties of covariance matrix in Section 3.3). This also implies that r_1, \dots, r_N are linearly independent as vectors in the Euclidean space H described in Section 4.1.

Let us consider the set K of all linear combinations of r_1, \dots, r_N . Clearly K is a linear subspace of H .

Theorem 5.2. 1) r_1, \dots, r_N is a basis in K . 2) The dimension of K equals N .

According to Theorems 5.1 and 5.2, any portfolio x of assets A_1, \dots, A_N is a vector in the N -dimensional Euclidean space K and can be represented as a column of its coordinates in the basis r_1, \dots, r_N :

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \dots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix}.$$

The portfolio's return is $x = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i r_i$ and its expected return is $E(x) = \mu_x = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \mu_i = Mx$.

If $y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \dots \\ y_N \end{bmatrix}$ is another portfolio of assets A_1, \dots, A_N , then $Cov(x, y) = Cov\left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i r_i, \sum_{j=1}^N y_j r_j\right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N x_i y_j Cov(r_i, r_j) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N x_i y_j \sigma_{ij} = x^T S y$, where x^T is the transpose of x .

If $y = x$, then $Var(x) = Cov(x, x) = x^T S x$. Thus, we have the following formulas for portfolios x and y .

Matrix formulas for portfolios' statistical parameters:

$$\mu_x = Mx,$$

$$\sigma_x^2 = x^T S x,$$

$$Cov(x, y) = x^T S y.$$

5.5 Envelope of Financial Assets

Generally portfolios with higher expected returns carry higher risks. However, it is possible to identify, among all portfolios with the same expected return, a portfolio with lowest risk, i.e., a portfolio with lowest variance.

A portfolio is called an **envelope portfolio** if it has the lowest variance among all portfolios with the same expected return.

An envelope portfolio minimizes risk for a given targeted return.

The set of all envelope portfolios is called the **envelope** of the assets A_1, \dots, A_N and is denoted $Env(A_1, \dots, A_N)$.

A vector $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix}$ is an envelope portfolio if it is a solution of the following minimization problem:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Var}(x) \rightarrow \min \\ x_1 + \dots + x_N = 1 \\ E(x) = \mu \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

for some fixed real number μ .

Portfolios are combinations of at least two assets, so only the case $N \geq 2$ is meaningful.

The problem (6) can be solved as a calculus problem in coordinate form using partial derivatives and Lagrange multipliers. Instead we will apply orthogonal projection in the Euclidean space K to produce an invariant geometric solution.

Since $E(x) = Mx$, the last two equations in (6) have this matrix form:

$$\begin{cases} Ux = 1 \\ Mx = \mu \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Please click the advert

Question:
What do Skype and Spotify have in common with color screen graphics and the computer mouse?

– They are all Swedish inventions.



STUDYINSWEDEN.SE

Be innovative. Study in Sweden

This system has a solution, since M and U are not proportional. This is the corresponding homogeneous system:

$$\begin{cases} Ux = 0 \\ Mx = 0 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

In case $N = 2$ for any $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ there is only one portfolio with expected return μ . We will consider the case $N \geq 3$.

Denote Q and W the sets of all solutions of the systems (7) and (8), respectively. By Lemma 2.1, Q is an affine subspace of K and W is its corresponding linear subspace with dimension $N-2$ (since U and M are independent). The affine subspace Q can be written as $Q = \{q + w \mid w \in W\}$ for any solution q of the system (7).

Theorem 5.3. For any $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$, there is a unique envelope portfolio with expected return μ . It equals:

$$x_\mu = q - \text{Proj}_W q,$$

where q is any solution of (7).

Proof

An envelope portfolio x with expected return μ is a solution of the system (7) with smallest variance and

$$\|x\|^2 = (x, x) = E(x^2) = \text{Var}(x) + [E(x)]^2 = \text{Var}(x) + \mu^2.$$

So the smallest variance means the smallest length, since $E(x) = \mu$ is fixed. Next apply Theorem 2.2. \square

Theorem 5.4. Let v_1, \dots, v_{N-2} be an orthogonal system of solutions of the system (8). Then

1) for any $y \in H$, $(y, v_k) = \text{Cov}(y, v_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, N-2$;

2) the envelope portfolio with expected return μ equals:

$$x_\mu = q - \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} \frac{(q, v_k)}{(v_k, v_k)} v_k,$$

where q is a solution of (7);

3) the envelope is the set $\{x_\mu \mid \mu \in \mathbf{R}\}$, where x_μ is given by the previous formula.

Proof

1) Each v_k is a solution of (8), so $E(v_k) = M v_k = 0$.

For any $y \in H$, $(y, v_k) = E(y \cdot v_k) = \text{Cov}(y, v_k) + E(y) \cdot E(v_k) = \text{Cov}(y, v_k) + E(y) \cdot 0 = \text{Cov}(y, v_k)$.

- 2) The system v_1, \dots, v_{N-2} is an orthogonal basis in W , so the result follows from Theorems 5.3 and 2.1.2).
- 3) is obvious. \square

Example 5.10. Three assets have expected returns of 3%, 1% and 3%, respectively, and covariance matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & -2 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ For a targeted return of 5\% find:}$$

- 1) the portfolio of these assets with lowest risk and
- 2) its variance.

Solution

1) $M = [3 \ 1 \ 3], \mu = 5$. The system (7) has the form $\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1 \\ 3x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 = 5 \end{cases} \cdot q = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a solution of this system.

Next we find a non-zero solution v of the homogeneous system $\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0 \\ 3x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 = 0 \end{cases} : v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

By Theorem 5.4, $x_\mu = q - \frac{(q, v)}{(v, v)} v$, $(q, v) = \text{Cov}(q, v)$ and $(v, v) = \text{Var}(v)$.

So $(q, v) = q^T S v = 1$; $(v, v) = v^T S v = 5$; $x_\mu = q - \frac{(q, v)}{(v, v)} v = \begin{bmatrix} 1.8 \\ -1 \\ 0.2 \end{bmatrix}$.

2) The variance of x_μ equals $x_\mu^T S x_\mu = 2.8$.

Thus, an investor can expect a higher return (5%) from the right combination of the assets than from each asset, with the lowest possible risk of 2.8. \square

Example 5.11. Four assets have expected returns of 1%, 3%, 1% and 1%, respectively, and covariance

matrix $S = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. For a targeted return of 3% find:

- 1) the portfolio of these assets with lowest risk and
- 2) its variance.

Solution

1) $M = [1 \ 3 \ 1 \ 1]$, $\mu = 3$. The system (7) has the form $\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 1 \\ x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 3 \end{cases} \cdot q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ is a solution of this system.

Next we find a non-zero solution v_1 of the homogeneous system $\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\ x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0 \end{cases} : v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

We also need to find a non-zero solution $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix}$ of the same system that is orthogonal to v_1 .

Thus, $0 = (v_1, v_2) = \text{Cov}(v_1, v_2) = v_1^T S v_2 = -x_2 + x_3 - 2x_4$.

We find v_2 as a solution of the system $\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\ x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\ -x_2 + x_3 - 2x_4 = 0 \end{cases} : v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

By Theorem 5.4, $x_\mu = q - \frac{(q, v_1)}{(v_1, v_1)} v_1 - \frac{(q, v_2)}{(v_2, v_2)} v_2$,

$(q, v_1) = \text{Cov}(q, v_1) = q^T S v_1 = 2$; $(q, v_2) = \text{Cov}(q, v_2) = q^T S v_2 = -2$;

With us you can
shape the future.
Every single day.

For more information go to:
www.eon-career.com

Your energy shapes the future.

e-on

Please click the advert

$$(v_1, v_1) = \text{Var}(v_1) = v_1^T S v_1 = 3; \quad (v_2, v_2) = \text{Var}(v_2) = v_2^T S v_2 = 15.$$

$$\text{So } x_\mu = q - \frac{(q, v_1)}{(v_1, v_1)} v_1 - \frac{(q, v_2)}{(v_2, v_2)} v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4 \\ 1 \\ 0.6 \\ -0.2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2) The variance of x_μ equals $x_\mu^T S x_\mu = 1.4$.

Thus, the portfolio x_μ has the same expected return of 3% as the second asset, but the lower risk of 1.4 versus 2. \square

5.5 Exercises

1. Three assets have expected returns of 2%, 2% and 4%, respectively, and covariance matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ For a targeted return of 3\% find the portfolio of these assets with lowest risk and its variance.}$$

$$\text{Answer: } x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma_x^2 = 1.$$

2. Three assets have expected returns of 4%, 2% and 4%, respectively, and covariance matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ For a targeted return of 3\% find the portfolio of these assets with lowest risk and its variance.}$$

$$\text{Answer: } x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 \\ 0.5 \\ -0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma_x^2 = 0.45.$$

3. Three assets have expected returns of 4%, 2% and 0%, respectively, and covariance matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ For a targeted return of 5\% find the portfolio of these assets with lowest risk and its variance.}$$

$$\text{Answer: } x = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 7 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma_x^2 = \frac{7}{12}.$$

4. Three assets have expected returns of 2%, 1% and 2%, respectively, and covariance matrix

$S = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$. For a targeted return of 3% find the portfolio of these assets with lowest risk and its variance.

Answer: $x = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\sigma_x^2 = 5$.

Please click the advert



Nido

Luxurious accommodation

Central zone 1 & 2 locations

Meet hundreds of international students

BOOK NOW and get a £100 voucher from voucherexpress

Nido Student Living - London

Visit www.NidoStudentLiving.com/Bookboon for more info.

+44 (0)20 3102 1060

6 Mean-Variance Analysis

6.1 Principle of Two Fund Separation

Principle of Two Fund Separation. Let x and y be two envelope portfolios, $x \neq y$. Then the envelope equals the set $\{\gamma x + (1-\gamma)y \mid \gamma \in \mathbf{R}\}$.

Proof

We need to prove that $Env(A_1, \dots, A_N) = \{\gamma x + (1-\gamma)y \mid \gamma \in \mathbf{R}\}$.

Denote $\mu_1 = E(x)$ and $\mu_2 = E(y)$. Fix an orthogonal system v_1, \dots, v_{N-2} of solutions of the system:

$$\begin{cases} Ux = 0 \\ Mx = 0 \end{cases},$$

where, as in Section 5.4, U is the row of ones and M is the row of the expected returns of the assets A_1, \dots, A_N .

Since x and y are envelope portfolios, by Theorem 5.4.2), for some numbers μ_1, μ_2 and vectors q_1, q_2 :

$$x = x_{\mu_1} = q_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} (q_1, v_k) \frac{v_k}{(v_k, v_k)}, \quad \begin{cases} Uq_1 = 1 \\ Mq_1 = \mu_1 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

and

$$y = x_{\mu_2} = q_2 - \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} (q_2, v_k) \frac{v_k}{(v_k, v_k)}, \quad \begin{cases} Uq_2 = 1 \\ Mq_2 = \mu_2 \end{cases}. \quad (10)$$

For any $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$, $U(\gamma q_1 + (1-\gamma)q_2) = \gamma Uq_1 + (1-\gamma)Uq_2 = \gamma + (1-\gamma) = 1$ by (9) and (10). So

$$\text{for any } \gamma \in \mathbf{R}, U(\gamma q_1 + (1-\gamma)q_2) = 1. \quad (11)$$

\Leftarrow Suppose $z = \gamma x + (1-\gamma)y$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$. Denote $q = \gamma q_1 + (1-\gamma)q_2$ and $\mu = \gamma \mu_1 + (1-\gamma)\mu_2$. By (9) and (10),

$$z = \gamma q_1 + (1-\gamma)q_2 - \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} [\gamma(q_1, v_k) + (1-\gamma)(q_2, v_k)] \frac{v_k}{(v_k, v_k)} \text{ and } z = q - \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} (q, v_k) \frac{v_k}{(v_k, v_k)}.$$

By (11), $Uq = 1$ and $Mq = \gamma Mq_1 + (1-\gamma)Mq_2 = \gamma \mu_1 + (1-\gamma)\mu_2 = \mu$. So $\begin{cases} Uq = 1 \\ Mq = \mu \end{cases}$, $z = x_\mu$ and by Theorems 5.3 and 5.4.2), $z \in Env(A_1, \dots, A_N)$.

\Rightarrow Suppose $z \in \text{Env}(A_1, \dots, A_N)$. Denote $\mu = E(z)$. First we solve the equation:

$$\gamma \mu_1 + (1 - \gamma) \mu_2 = \mu. \quad (12)$$

$\gamma(\mu_1 - \mu_2) = \mu - \mu_2$. If $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, then by Theorem 5.3, $x = x_{\mu_1} = x_{\mu_2} = y$. Therefore $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ and $\gamma = \frac{\mu - \mu_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2}$ is the solution of the equation (12).

Denote $q = q_1 + (1 - \gamma) q_2$. By (11), $Uq = 1$. $Mq = \gamma Mq_1 + (1 - \gamma) Mq_2 = \gamma \mu_1 + (1 - \gamma) \mu_2 = \mu$ by (12).

$$\text{So } \begin{cases} Uq = 1 \\ Mq = \mu \end{cases}.$$

Consider the envelope portfolio $x_\mu = q - \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} \frac{(q, v_k)}{(v_k, v_k)} v_k$. Clearly, $x_\mu = \gamma x + (1 - \gamma) y$. By Theorems 5.3 and 5.4.2), x_μ is the only envelope portfolio with expected return μ , so $z = x_\mu = \gamma x + (1 - \gamma) y$. \square

The Principle of Two Fund Separation can be rephrased in financial terms: any portfolio on the envelope can be formed as a weighted average of any two funds on the envelope.

Example 6.1. In the world of assets A_1 , A_2 and A_3 portfolios $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$ and $y = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix}$ are on the envelope. Find the envelope of these assets.

Solution

By the Principle of Two Fund Separation, $\text{Env}(A_1, A_2, A_3) = \{\gamma x + (1 - \gamma) y \mid \gamma \in \mathbf{R}\} =$

$$= \left\{ \gamma \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix} + (1 - \gamma) \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix} \mid \gamma \in \mathbf{R} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0.4\gamma - 0.2 \\ -0.5\gamma + 0.8 \\ 0.1\gamma + 0.4 \end{bmatrix} \mid \gamma \in \mathbf{R} \right\}. \quad \square$$

Example 6.2. Three assets have expected returns of 1%, 5% and 4%, respectively, and covariance matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Do all these assets lie on the envelope?}$$

Solution

Denote the assets x , y and z . Suppose all these assets are on the envelope. By the Principle of Two Fund Separation, $z = \gamma x + (1 - \gamma) y$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$.

Then $\mu_z = \gamma \mu_x + (1 - \gamma) \mu_y$, so $4 = \gamma \cdot 1 + (1 - \gamma) \cdot 5$, and $\gamma = \frac{1}{4}$.

$$\sigma_z^2 = \text{Var}[\gamma x + (1 - \gamma) y] = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{4}x + \frac{3}{4}y\right) = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \cdot \sigma_x^2 + \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 \cdot \sigma_y^2 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot \text{Cov}(x, y) =$$

$= \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \cdot 9 + \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cdot 2 = \frac{57}{16}$. This contradicts the fact that $\sigma_z^2 = 1$. Hence not all three assets lie on the envelope. \square

6.1. Exercise

1. In the world of assets A_1, A_2, A_3 and A_4 the following portfolios x and y are on the envelope:

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } y = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.5 \\ -0.1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Find the envelope.}$$

$$\text{Answer: } Env(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -0.2\gamma + 0.3 \\ -0.1\gamma + 0.3 \\ -0.2\gamma + 0.5 \\ 0.5\gamma - 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \mid \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Please click the advert



Brain power

By 2020, wind could provide one-tenth of our planet's electricity needs. Already today, SKF's innovative know-how is crucial to running a large proportion of the world's wind turbines.

Up to 25 % of the generating costs relate to maintenance. These can be reduced dramatically thanks to our systems for on-line condition monitoring and automatic lubrication. We help make it more economical to create cleaner, cheaper energy out of thin air.

By sharing our experience, expertise, and creativity, industries can boost performance beyond expectations. Therefore we need the best employees who can meet this challenge!

The Power of Knowledge Engineering

Plug into The Power of Knowledge Engineering.
Visit us at www.skf.com/knowledge

SKF

6.2 Efficient Frontier

A portfolio is called an **efficient portfolio** if it has the highest expected return among all portfolios with the same variance.

An efficient portfolio maximizes expected return for a given risk.

The set of all efficient portfolios is called the **efficient frontier** of the assets A_1, \dots, A_N and is denoted $EF(A_1, \dots, A_N)$.

6.3 Mean-Variance Relation

To each portfolio of assets A_1, \dots, A_N we assign a pair (σ, μ) of its standard deviation and expected return. The set of all such pairs is called the **feasible set** of the assets A_1, \dots, A_N . The feasible set is represented by a figure on (σ, μ) -plane. There is no one-to-one correspondence between portfolios and points of the feasible set because two different portfolios can have equal means and equal standard deviations.

Theorem 6.1.

- 1) The envelope is represented on the (σ, μ) -plane by the right branch of the hyperbola:

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{A} - \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{B} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0). \quad (13)$$

for some constants $A > 0$, $B > 0$ and μ_0 .

- 2) For $N \geq 3$, the feasible region is the region to the right of the curve (13) including the curve itself.
- 3) The portfolio x_{min} with lowest risk corresponds to the vertex of the curve (13); it has the mean μ_0 and the variance A .
- 4) The efficient frontier is represented on the (σ, μ) -plane by the top half of the curve (13):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\sigma^2}{A} - \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{B} = 1 \\ \sigma > 0 \\ \mu \geq \mu_0 \end{array} \right. .$$




Figure 6.1.

Proof

1) Denote x_μ the envelope portfolio with expected return μ and denote σ^2 its variance. We will use Theorem 5.4.

The system (7) of linear equations from Section 5.5 can be solved using Gauss-Jordan elimination, so any solution q is a linear function of the parameter μ . From Theorem 5.4.2) it follows that the envelope portfolio x_μ is also a linear function of μ : $x_\mu = c + b\mu$, where c and b are some vectors independent of μ , $b \neq 0$.

$$\text{So } \sigma^2 = \text{Var}(x_\mu) = x_\mu^T S x_\mu = (c + b\mu)^T S (c + b\mu) = c^T S c + \mu^2 b^T S b + \mu (c^T S b + b^T S c).$$

The relation between μ and σ for envelope portfolios is given by this second-degree equation:

$$\mu^2 b^T S b + \mu (c^T S b + b^T S c) - \sigma^2 + c^T S c = 0. \quad (14)$$

Comparing this with the general equation of a second-degree curve:

$$a_{11} u^2 + 2a_{12} uv + a_{22} v^2 + 2a_{13} u + 2a_{23} v + a_{33} = 0$$

$$\text{we get } a_{11} = b^T S b, a_{22} = -1, a_{33} = c^T S c, a_{13} = \frac{1}{2} (c^T S b + b^T S c), a_{12} = a_{23} = 0.$$

Consider two invariants I_2 and I_3 .

$$I_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}^2 = -b^T S b. \quad I_2 < 0, \text{ since the matrix } S \text{ is positive definite.}$$

$$I_3 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & a_{13} \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ a_{13} & 0 & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = - \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{13} \\ a_{13} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} \left[(c^T S b + b^T S c)^2 - 4(b^T S b)(c^T S c) \right].$$

Since the matrix S is positive definite, for any real number t : $(c + bt)^T S (c + bt) > 0$. Hence for any t ,

$t^2 b^T S b + t (c^T S b + b^T S c) + c^T S c > 0$. So the discriminant of this quadratic is negative:

$$(c^T S b + b^T S c)^2 - 4 (b^T S b) (c^T S c) < 0.$$

This implies $I_3 < 0$. Also we have $I_2 < 0$, therefore the equation (14) defines a non-degenerate hyperbola with the real axis parallel to $O\sigma$. This follows from properties of second-degree curves (see, for example, Il'in and Poznyak, 1985). The equation (14) can be transformed to a canonical form by completing the square for μ , so the result has the form (13).

2) Since the curve (13) represents the envelope portfolios, all other portfolios have higher variances, so their corresponding (σ, μ) -points lie to the right of the curve.

Please click the advert

Are you considering a European business degree?

LEARN BUSINESS at university level. We mix cases with cutting edge research working individually or in teams and everyone speaks English. Bring back valuable knowledge and experience to boost your career.

MEET a culture of new foods, music and traditions and a new way of studying business in a safe, clean environment – in the middle of Copenhagen, Denmark.

ENGAGE in extra-curricular activities such as case competitions, sports, etc. – make new friends among CBS' 18,000 students from more than 80 countries.

Copenhagen Business School
HÅNDELSSKOLEN

EFMD **EQUIS** AACSB Accredited by Association of MBAs CEMS EQUIS

See what we look like and how we work on cbs.dk

3) From the equation (13) we see that the right vertex of the hyperbola has coordinates (μ_0, \sqrt{A}) ; it corresponds to the portfolio with lowest risk.

4) As Figure 6.1 shows, each feasible value of σ (except the vertex value) corresponds to two points on the envelope and two values of μ . The point with a higher μ is on the efficient frontier. \square

Example 6.3. Three assets have expected returns of 2%, 1% and 1%, respectively, and covariance matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 1) Find the envelope of these assets.
- 2) On the mean-variance plane find: a) the envelope and b) the feasible region.
- 3) Find the portfolio with lowest risk.
- 4) Find the efficient frontier of the assets and its (σ, μ) -representation.

Solution

1) $N = 3$ and $M = [2 \ 1 \ 1]$. The system (7) from Section 5.5 can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = \mu \end{cases} \text{ and } q = \begin{bmatrix} \mu - 1 \\ 2 \\ -\mu \end{bmatrix} \text{ is one of its solutions.}$$

This is the corresponding homogeneous system: $\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0 \end{cases}$ and one of its non-trivial solutions:

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ By Theorem 5.4.2), } x_\mu = q - \frac{(q, v_1)}{(v_1, v_1)} v_1.$$

$$(q, v_1) = \text{Cov}(q, v_1) = q^T S v_1 = [\mu - 1 \quad 2 \quad -\mu] \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = 4\mu - 2.$$

Similarly, $(v_1, v_1) = \text{Var}(v_1) = v_1^T S v_1 = 3.$

$$\text{So } x_\mu = q - \frac{(q, v_1)}{(v_1, v_1)} v_1 = q - \frac{4\mu - 2}{3} v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu - 1 \\ 2 \\ -\mu \end{bmatrix} - \frac{4\mu - 2}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$x_\mu = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 3\mu - 3 \\ -4\mu + 8 \\ \mu - 2 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

$$Env(A_1, A_2, A_3) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 3\mu - 3 \\ -4\mu + 8 \\ \mu - 2 \end{bmatrix} \mid \mu \in R \right\}.$$

2) The variance of x_μ is $\sigma^2 = x_\mu^T S x_\mu = \frac{2}{3}(\mu^2 - \mu + 1)$.

The envelope is represented on the mean-variance plane by the curve: $2(\mu^2 - \mu + 1) = 3\sigma^2$. After completing the square we have:

$$3\sigma^2 - 2\left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{3}{2}.$$

a) Changing this to canonical form we get this equation for the envelope:


$$\frac{\sigma^2}{0.5} - \frac{(\mu - 0.5)^2}{0.75} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0). \quad (16)$$

b) The feasible region is the region to the right of the curve (16) including the curve, so it is given by:

$$\sigma \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}}.$$

3) The portfolio x_{min} with lowest risk corresponds to the right vertex v of the hyperbola (16), which has the coordinates $(\sqrt{0.5}, 0.5)$. So the parameters of x_{min} are $\sqrt{0.5}$ and 0.5. Substituting $\mu = 0.5$ into (15)

we get $x_{min} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 \\ 2 \\ -0.5 \end{bmatrix}$, the portfolio with the lowest risk.



4) The efficient frontier is the top half of the curve (16). On the mean-variance plane it is given by:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\sigma^2}{0.5} - \frac{(\mu - 0.5)^2}{0.75} = 1 \\ \sigma > 0 \\ \mu \geq 0.5 \end{array} \right. . \text{ Also } EF(A_1, A_2, A_3) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 3\mu - 3 \\ -4\mu + 8 \\ \mu - 2 \end{bmatrix} \mid \mu \geq 0.5 \right\} . \quad \square$$

Example 6.4. Four assets have expected returns of 1%, 1%, 2% and 1%, respectively, and covariance

$$\text{matrix } S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 1) Find the envelope of these assets.
- 2) On the mean-variance plane find: a) the envelope and b) the feasible region.
- 3) Find the portfolio with lowest risk.
- 4) Find the efficient frontier of the assets and its (σ, μ) -representation.

Please click the advert



The financial industry needs a strong software platform

That's why we need you

Working at SimCorp means making a difference. At SimCorp, you help create the tools that shape the global financial industry of tomorrow. SimCorp provides integrated software solutions that can turn investment management companies into winners. With SimCorp, you make the most of your ambitions, realising your full potential in a challenging, empowering and stimulating work environment.

Are you among the best qualified in finance, economics, computer science or mathematics?

Find your next challenge at www.simcorp.com/careers

Mitigate risk | Reduce cost | Enable growth
simcorp.com



"When I joined SimCorp, I was very impressed with the introduction programme offered to me."

Meet Lars and other employees at simcorp.com/meetouremployees



Solution

1) $N = 4$ and $M = [1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1]$. The system (7) from Section 5.5 can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 1 \\ x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 = \mu \end{cases} \text{ and } q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu-1 \\ 2-\mu \end{bmatrix} \text{ is one of its solutions.}$$

This is the corresponding homogeneous system: $\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\ x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 = 0 \end{cases}$ and one of its non-trivial

solutions: $v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Consider its another non-trivial solution $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix}$ orthogonal to v_1 .

Then $0 = (v_1, v_2) = [\text{by Theorem 5.4.1}] = \text{Cov}(v_1, v_2) = v_1^T S v_2 =$

$$= [1 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = -x_2 - x_3.$$

Thus, v_2 should satisfy these three equations: $\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\ x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\ -x_2 - x_3 = 0 \end{cases}, \quad v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$

By Theorem 5.4.2), $x_\mu = q - \frac{(q, v_1)}{(v_1, v_1)} v_1 - \frac{(q, v_2)}{(v_2, v_2)} v_2.$

$$(q, v_1) = \text{Cov}(q, v_1) = q^T S v_1 = [0 \ 0 \ \mu-1 \ 2-\mu] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1-\mu.$$

Similarly, $(q, v_2) = \text{Cov}(q, v_2) = q^T S v_2 = 3\mu - 6; (v_1, v_1) = \text{Var}(v_1) = v_1^T S v_1 = 1;$

$$(v_2, v_2) = \text{Var}(v_2) = v_2^T S v_2 = 5.$$

$$\text{So } x_\mu = q - \frac{(q, v_1)}{(v_1, v_1)} v_1 - \frac{(q, v_2)}{(v_2, v_2)} v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mu-1 \\ 2-\mu \end{bmatrix} + (\mu-1) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{3\mu-6}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$x_\mu = \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 2\mu + 1 \\ -5\mu + 5 \\ 5\mu - 5 \\ -2\mu + 4 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

$$\text{Env}(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) = \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 2\mu + 1 \\ -5\mu + 5 \\ 5\mu - 5 \\ -2\mu + 4 \end{bmatrix} \mid \mu \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

2) The variance of x_μ is $\sigma^2 = x_\mu^T S x_\mu = \frac{1}{5}(6\mu^2 - 14\mu + 9)$.

The envelope is represented on the mean-variance plane by the curve: $6\mu^2 - 14\mu + 9 = 5\sigma^2$. After completing the square we have:

$$5\sigma^2 - 6\left(\mu - \frac{7}{6}\right)^2 = \frac{5}{6}.$$

a) Changing this to canonical form we get this equation for the envelope:

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{\frac{1}{6}} - \frac{\left(\mu - \frac{7}{6}\right)^2}{\frac{5}{36}} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0). \quad (18)$$

b) The feasible region is the region to the right of the curve (18) including the curve, so it is given by:

$$\sigma \geq \sqrt{\frac{36\left(\mu - \frac{7}{6}\right)^2 + 5}{30}}.$$

3) The portfolio x_{\min} with lowest risk corresponds to the right vertex of the hyperbola (18), which has the coordinates $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{7}{6}\right)$. So the parameters of x_{\min} are $\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}$ and $\frac{7}{6}$.

Substituting $\mu = \frac{7}{6}$ into (17) we get $x_{\min} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, the portfolio with the lowest risk.

4) The efficient frontier is the top half of the curve (18). On the mean-variance plane it is given by:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\sigma^2}{\frac{1}{6}} - \frac{\left(\mu - \frac{7}{6}\right)^2}{\frac{5}{36}} = 1 \\ \sigma > 0 \\ \mu \geq \frac{7}{6} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{Also } EF(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) = \left\{ \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 2\mu + 1 \\ -5\mu + 5 \\ 5\mu - 5 \\ -2\mu + 4 \end{bmatrix} \mid \mu \geq \frac{7}{6} \right\}. \quad \square$$

6.3. Exercises

Consider assets with given expected returns and covariance matrix S . In each case find the following.

- 1) Find the envelope of these assets.
- 2) On the mean-variance plane find: a) the envelope and b) the feasible region.

Please click the advert



What do you want to do?

No matter what you want out of your future career, an employer with a broad range of operations in a load of countries will always be the ticket. Working within the Volvo Group means more than 100,000 friends and colleagues in more than 185 countries all over the world. We offer graduates great career opportunities – check out the Career section at our web site www.volvogroup.com. We look forward to getting to know you!

VOLVO
AB Volvo (publ)
www.volvogroup.com

VOLVO TRUCKS | RENAULT TRUCKS | MACK TRUCKS | VOLVO BUSES | VOLVO CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT | VOLVO PENTA | VOLVO AERO | VOLVO IT
VOLVO FINANCIAL SERVICES | VOLVO 3P | VOLVO POWERTRAIN | VOLVO PARTS | VOLVO TECHNOLOGY | VOLVO LOGISTICS | BUSINESS AREA ASIA

- 3) Find the portfolio with lowest risk.
 4) Find the efficient frontier of the assets and its (σ, μ) -representation.

1. Expected returns: 2%, 2% and 4%, $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$.

Answers:

1) $Env(A_1, A_2, A_3) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\mu+5 \\ -1 \\ \mu-2 \end{bmatrix} \middle| \mu \in R \right\};$ 2a) $\frac{\sigma^2}{0.5} - \frac{(\mu-2)^2}{1} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0),$

2b) $\sigma \geq \sqrt{0.5 + 0.5(\mu-2)^2};$ 3) $x_{min} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 \\ -0.5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix};$

4) $EF(A_1, A_2, A_3) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\mu+5 \\ -1 \\ \mu-2 \end{bmatrix} \middle| \mu \geq 2 \right\},$ its (σ, μ) -representation is $\begin{cases} \frac{\sigma^2}{0.5} - \frac{(\mu-2)^2}{1} = 1 \\ \sigma > 0 \\ \mu \geq 2 \end{cases}.$

2. Expected returns: 4%, 2% and 4%, $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Answers:

1) $Env(A_1, A_2, A_3) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 \\ -0.5\mu+2 \\ 0.5\mu-1.6 \end{bmatrix} \middle| \mu \in R \right\};$ 2a) $\frac{\sigma^2}{0.2} - \frac{(\mu-4)^2}{0.8} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0),$

2b) $\sigma \geq \sqrt{0.2 + 0.25(\mu-4)^2};$ 3) $x_{min} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 \\ 0 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix};$

4) $EF(A_1, A_2, A_3) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 \\ -0.5\mu+2 \\ 0.5\mu-1.6 \end{bmatrix} \middle| \mu \geq 4 \right\},$ its (σ, μ) -representation is $\begin{cases} \frac{\sigma^2}{0.2} - \frac{(\mu-4)^2}{0.8} = 1 \\ \sigma > 0 \\ \mu \geq 4 \end{cases}.$

3. Expected returns: 4%, 2% and 0%, $S = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Answers:

$$\begin{aligned}
1) \text{Env}(A_1, A_2, A_3) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} \mu-1 \\ \mu+2 \\ -2\mu+5 \end{bmatrix} \middle| \mu \in \mathbb{R} \right\}; & 2a) \frac{\sigma^2}{0.5} - \frac{(\mu-4)^2}{6} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0), \\
2b) \sigma &\geq \sqrt{0.5 + \frac{(\mu-4)^2}{12}}; & 3) x_{\min} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 1 \\ -0.5 \end{bmatrix}; \\
4) \text{EF}(A_1, A_2, A_3) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} \mu-1 \\ \mu+2 \\ -2\mu+5 \end{bmatrix} \middle| \mu \geq 4 \right\}, \text{ its } (\sigma, \mu)\text{-representation is } \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma^2}{0.5} - \frac{(\mu-4)^2}{6} = 1 \\ \sigma > 0 \\ \mu \geq 4 \end{cases}. \\
4. \text{Expected returns: 2\%, 1\% and 2\%, } S &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Answers:

$$\begin{aligned}
1) \text{Env}(A_1, A_2, A_3) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 7\mu-9 \\ -6\mu+12 \\ -\mu+3 \end{bmatrix} \middle| \mu \in \mathbb{R} \right\}; & 2a) \frac{\sigma^2}{0.2} - \frac{(\mu-0.6)^2}{0.24} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0), \\
2b) \sigma &\geq \sqrt{0.2 + \frac{5(\mu-0.6)^2}{6}}; & 3) x_{\min} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.8 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix}; \\
4) \text{EF}(A_1, A_2, A_3) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 7\mu-9 \\ -6\mu+12 \\ -\mu+3 \end{bmatrix} \middle| \mu \geq 0.6 \right\}, \text{ its } (\sigma, \mu)\text{-representation is } \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma^2}{0.2} - \frac{(\mu-0.6)^2}{0.24} = 1 \\ \sigma > 0 \\ \mu \geq 0.6 \end{cases}.
\end{aligned}$$

6.4 Mean-Variance Relation for 2-Asset Portfolios

Previously we assumed that at least two values of the expectations μ_1, \dots, μ_N are different. In case $N=2$ this means $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$. We will keep this assumption but not the assumption $\det S > 0$. For $N=2$ we will consider the case $\det S > 0$ and then the case $\det S = 0$. We will assume $\sigma_1 > 0$ and $\sigma_2 > 0$, which means the returns r_1 and r_2 of the assets A_1 and A_2 are not constant.

Denote ρ the coefficient of correlation between the returns of assets A_1 and A_2 . As stated in Section 3.3, $\det S = 0 \Leftrightarrow r_1$ and r_2 are linearly dependent $\Leftrightarrow |\text{Cov}(r_1, r_2)| = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \Leftrightarrow |\rho| = 1$. Thus,

$$\det S = 0 \Leftrightarrow |\rho| = 1. \quad (19)$$

In case $N = 2$ for any $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ there is only one portfolio with expected return μ , so the envelope is the same as the feasible set.


The feasible set of the assets A_1 and A_2 depends on the value of ρ as the following theorems show.

Theorem 6.2. Suppose $N = 2$, $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ and $\rho \neq \pm 1$. The feasible set and envelope of A_1, A_2 are both represented on the (σ, μ) -plane by the right branch of the hyperbola:

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{A} - \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{B} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0).$$

for some constants $A > 0$, $B > 0$ and μ_0 .

Please click the advert




Do you want your Dream Job?

More customers get their dream job by using RedStarResume than any other resume service.

RedStarResume can help you with your job application and CV.

Go to: Redstarresume.com
Use code "BOOKBOON" and save up to \$15

(enter the discount code in the "Discount Code Box")







Figure 6.2 Feasible set of two assets ($\rho \neq \pm 1$)

Proof

The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.1, since the feasible set and envelope both equal the set of solutions x_μ of the system $\begin{cases} Ux = 1 \\ Mx = \mu \end{cases}$ and each solution x_μ can be written in the form $x_\mu = c + b\mu$. \square

Theorem 6.3. Suppose $N = 2$, $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ and $\rho = -1$.

The feasible set and envelope of A_1, A_2 are both represented on the (σ, μ) -plane by two rays coming out of one point on the vertical μ -axis.

Figure 6.3 Feasible set of two assets ($\rho = -1$)

Proof

Any 2-asset portfolio can be represented in the form $x = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \\ 1-\gamma \end{bmatrix}$. Denote $\mu = \mu_x$ and $\sigma = \sigma_x$.

$$x = \gamma r_1 + (1-\gamma) r_2, \text{ so } \begin{cases} \mu = \gamma \mu_1 + (1-\gamma) \mu_2 \\ \sigma^2 = \gamma^2 \sigma_1^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2\gamma(1-\gamma) \sigma_{12} \end{cases}$$

Since $\rho = -1$, we have $\sigma_{12} = \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = -\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ and $\sigma^2 = \gamma^2 \sigma_1^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 \sigma_2^2 - 2\gamma(1-\gamma) \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = [\gamma \sigma_1 - (1-\gamma) \sigma_2]^2$.

$$\text{Standard deviation } \sigma \geq 0, \text{ so } \begin{cases} \mu = \gamma \mu_1 + (1-\gamma) \mu_2 & I \\ \sigma = |\gamma \sigma_1 - (1-\gamma) \sigma_2| & II \end{cases}$$

From equation I: $\gamma = \frac{\mu - \mu_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2}$ and $1-\gamma = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu}{\mu_1 - \mu_2}$. Substitute this into equation II:

$$\sigma = \left| \frac{(\mu - \mu_2) \sigma_1 - (\mu_1 - \mu) \sigma_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \right| = \left| \frac{\mu(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) - \mu_2 \sigma_1 - \mu_1 \sigma_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \right| = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{|\mu_1 - \mu_2|} \cdot \left| \mu - \frac{\mu_2 \sigma_1 + \mu_1 \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2} \right|.$$

Denote $k = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{|\mu_1 - \mu_2|} > 0$ and $\mu_0 = \frac{\mu_2 \sigma_1 + \mu_1 \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}$. Then we have the equation $\sigma = k |\mu - \mu_0|$, which defines two rays coming out of the point $(0, \mu_0)$ on the vertical μ -axis. \square

Theorem 6.4. Suppose $N = 2$, $\rho = 1$, $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ and $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$.

The feasible set and envelope of A_1 , A_2 are both represented on the (σ, μ) -plane by two rays coming out of one point on the vertical μ -axis.

Proof

The diagram looks similar to the diagram in the previous theorem.

Since $\rho = 1$, we have $\sigma_{12} = \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2$. As in the proof of Theorem 6.3, for any portfolio $x = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \\ 1-\gamma \end{bmatrix}$ we have $\sigma^2 = \gamma^2 \sigma_1^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2(1-\gamma) \gamma \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = [\gamma \sigma_1 + (1-\gamma) \sigma_2]^2$.

$$\text{So } \begin{cases} \mu = \gamma \mu_1 + (1-\gamma) \mu_2 & I \\ \sigma = |\gamma \sigma_1 + (1-\gamma) \sigma_2| & II \end{cases}$$

Substitute $\gamma = \frac{\mu - \mu_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2}$ and $1-\gamma = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu}{\mu_1 - \mu_2}$ into equation II:

$$\sigma = \left| \frac{(\mu - \mu_2) \sigma_1 + (\mu_1 - \mu) \sigma_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \right| = \left| \frac{\mu(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) - \mu_2 \sigma_1 + \mu_1 \sigma_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \right| = \left| \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \right| \cdot \left| \mu - \frac{\mu_2 \sigma_1 - \mu_1 \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2} \right|.$$

Try this...



The sequence 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, ... is the sequence of even whole numbers. The 100th place in this sequence is the number...?

Challenging? Not challenging? Try more >>

www.alloptions.nl/life

Denote $k = \left| \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{\mu_1 - \mu_2} \right| > 0$ and $\mu_0 = \frac{\mu_2 \sigma_1 - \mu_1 \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}$. Then we have the equation $\sigma = k |\mu - \mu_0|$, which defines two rays coming out of the point $(0, \mu_0)$ on the vertical μ -axis. \square

Theorem 6.5. Suppose $N = 2$, $\rho = 1$, $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$.

The feasible set and envelope of A_1, A_2 are both represented on the (σ, μ) -plane by the vertical straight line given by $\sigma = \sigma_1$.




Figure 6.4 Feasible set of two assets ($\rho = 1$ & $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$)

Proof

$\sigma_{12} = \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_1^2$. As in the proof of Theorem 6.4, for any portfolio $x = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \\ 1-\gamma \end{bmatrix}$ we have

$$\sigma^2 = \gamma^2 \sigma_1^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2\gamma(1-\gamma) \sigma_1 \sigma_2 = \sigma_1^2 (\gamma^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 + 2\gamma(1-\gamma)) = \sigma_1^2 (\gamma + 1-\gamma)^2 = \sigma_1^2.$$

So $\begin{cases} \mu = \gamma \mu_1 + (1-\gamma) \mu_2 \\ \sigma = \sigma_1 \end{cases}$, which defines the vertical straight line $\sigma = \sigma_1$. \square

Example 6.5. Asset A_1 has expected return of 3 and standard deviation of 2. Asset A_2 has expected return of 1 and standard deviation of 1. For the following values of correlation coefficient ρ find the feasible sets of assets A_1, A_2 and sketch them on the same graph.

- 1) $\rho = -1$, 2) $\rho = 0$, 3) $\rho = 1$.

Solution

$\mu_1 = 3, \sigma_1 = 2, \mu_2 = 1, \sigma_2 = 1$. For any portfolio x :

$$\mu = \gamma\mu_1 + (1-\gamma)\mu_2, \mu = 3\gamma + (1-\gamma), \gamma = \frac{\mu-1}{2}, 1-\gamma = \frac{3-\mu}{2}; \sigma^2 = \gamma^2\sigma_1^2 + (1-\gamma)^2\sigma_2^2 + 2\gamma(1-\gamma)\sigma_{12}.$$

$$1) \rho = -1. \sigma_{12} = \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 = -2. \text{ So } \sigma^2 = 4\gamma^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 - 4\gamma(1-\gamma) = \frac{4(\mu-1)^2 + (3-\mu)^2 - 4(\mu-1)(3-\mu)}{4} = \left(\frac{3\mu-5}{2}\right)^2, \\ \sigma = \frac{3}{2}\left|\mu - \frac{5}{3}\right|, \text{ which defines two rays coming out of the point } \left(0, \frac{5}{3}\right).$$


$$2) \rho = 0. \sigma_{12} = \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 = 0. \sigma^2 = 4\gamma^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 = \frac{4(\mu-1)^2 + (3-\mu)^2}{4} = \frac{5\mu^2 - 14\mu + 13}{4} = \frac{5}{4}\left(\mu - \frac{7}{5}\right)^2 + \frac{4}{5}.$$

$$\text{So } \sigma^2 = \frac{5}{4}\left(\mu - \frac{7}{5}\right)^2 + \frac{4}{5} \text{ and } \frac{\sigma^2}{0.8} - \frac{(\mu-1.4)^2}{0.64} = 1 \ (\sigma > 0), \text{ which defines the right branch of the hyperbola}$$

with centre $(0, 1.4)$ and vertex $(\sqrt{0.8}, 1.4)$.

$$3) \rho = 1. \sigma_{12} = \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 = 2. \sigma^2 = 4\gamma^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 + 4\gamma(1-\gamma) = \frac{4(\mu-1)^2 + (3-\mu)^2 + 4(\mu-1)(3-\mu)}{4} = \left(\frac{\mu+1}{2}\right)^2,$$

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2}|\mu+1|, \text{ which defines two rays coming out of the point } (0, -1).$$



□

Example 6.6. Two assets have expected returns of 1% and 3%, respectively, and covariance matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 1) Find the envelope of these assets.
- 2) On the mean-variance plane find the envelope and feasible region.
- 3) Find the portfolio with lowest risk.
- 4) Find the efficient frontier of the assets and its (σ, μ) -representation.

Solution


1) $N = 2$ and $M = [1 \ 3]$. The system (7) from Section 5.5 can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 = 1 \\ x_1 + 3x_2 = \mu \end{cases} \text{ and this is its only solution:}$$

$$x_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\mu + 3 \\ \mu - 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

$$\text{Env}(A_1, A_2) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\mu + 3 \\ \mu - 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid \mu \in R \right\}.$$

Please click the advert



2) The variance of x_μ is $\sigma^2 = x_\mu^T S x_\mu = \frac{1}{4}(10\mu^2 - 32\mu + 26)$.

The envelope is represented on the mean-variance plane by the curve: $10\mu^2 - 32\mu + 32 = 4\sigma^2$. After completing the square we have: $4\sigma^2 - 10(\mu - 1.6)^2 = 0.4$.

Changing this to canonical form we get this equation for the envelope and the feasible region:

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{0.1} - \frac{(\mu - 1.6)^2}{0.04} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0). \quad (21)$$

3) The portfolio x_{min} with lowest risk corresponds to the right vertex of the hyperbola (21), which has the coordinates $(\sqrt{0.1}, 1.6)$. So the parameters of x_{min} are $\sqrt{0.1}$ and 1.6. Substituting $\mu = 1.6$ into (20) we get $x_{min} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7 \\ 0.3 \end{bmatrix}$, the portfolio with lowest risk.

4) The efficient frontier is the top half of the curve (21). On the mean-variance plane it is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\sigma^2}{0.1} - \frac{(\mu - 1.6)^2}{0.04} = 1 \\ \sigma > 0 \\ \mu \geq 1.6 \end{cases}.$$

$$\text{Also } EF(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\mu + 3 \\ \mu - 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid \mu \geq 1.6 \right\}. \quad \square$$

6.4 Exercises

1. Asset A_1 has expected return of 12 and standard deviation of 1. Asset A_2 has expected return of 15 and standard deviation of 2. For the following values of correlation coefficient ρ find the feasible sets of assets A_1 , A_2 and sketch them on the same graph.

$$1) \rho = -1, \quad 2) \rho = -\frac{1}{4}, \quad 3) \rho = 0, \quad 4) \rho = \frac{1}{2}, \quad 5) \rho = 1.$$

$$\text{Answers: } 1) \sigma = |\mu - 13|, \quad 2) \frac{\sigma^2}{\frac{5}{8}} - \frac{\left(\mu - \frac{51}{4}\right)^2}{\frac{15}{16}} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0), \quad 3) \frac{\sigma^2}{0.8} - \frac{(\mu - 12.6)^2}{1.44} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0),$$

$$4) \frac{\sigma^2}{1} - \frac{(\mu - 12)^2}{3} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0), \quad 5) \sigma = \frac{1}{3} |\mu - 9|.$$

2. Asset A_1 has expected return of 10 and standard deviation of 2. Asset A_2 has expected return of 12 and standard deviation of 2. For the following values of correlation coefficient ρ find the feasible sets of assets A_1 , A_2 and sketch them on the same graph.

$$1) \rho = -1, \quad 2) \rho = -0.6, \quad 3) \rho = 0, \quad 4) \rho = 0.6, \quad 5) \rho = 1.$$

$$\text{Answers: } 1) \sigma = 2 |\mu - 11|, \quad 2) \frac{\sigma^2}{0.8} - \frac{(\mu - 11)^2}{0.25} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0), \quad 3) \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - \frac{(\mu - 11)^2}{1} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0),$$

$$4) \frac{\sigma^2}{3.2} - \frac{(\mu - 11)^2}{4} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0), \quad 5) \sigma = 2.$$

3. Two assets have expected returns of 20% and 10%, respectively, and covariance matrix $S = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$.

- 1) Find the envelope of these assets.
- 2) On the mean-variance plane find the envelope and feasible region.
- 3) Find the portfolio with lowest risk.
- 4) Find the efficient frontier of the assets and its (σ, μ) -representation.

$$\text{Answers: } 1) \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0.1\mu - 1 \\ -0.1\mu + 2 \end{bmatrix} \mid \mu \in R \right\}, \quad 2) \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - \frac{\mu^2}{200} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0), \quad 3) x_{\min} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 4) \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma^2}{2} - \frac{\mu^2}{200} = 1 \\ \sigma > 0 \\ \mu \geq 0 \end{cases}.$$

7 Capital Market Theory

7.1 Risk-Free Asset

A **risk-free asset** is an asset with a constant return; it is denoted F .


The return of the asset F is denoted f .

For any portfolio x the difference $(\mu_x - f)$ is called the **risk premium** of x .

Thus, $E(f) = f$, $Var(f) = 0$.

For example, government bonds are considered risk-free assets because the government can always raise taxes to pay its bills.

Please click the advert



Masters in Management



Designed for high-achieving graduates across all disciplines, London Business School's Masters in Management provides specific and tangible foundations for a successful career in business.

This 12-month, full-time programme is a business qualification with impact. In 2010, our MiM employment rate was 95% within 3 months of graduation*; the majority of graduates choosing to work in consulting or financial services.

As well as a renowned qualification from a world-class business school, you also gain access to the School's network of more than 34,000 global alumni – a community that offers support and opportunities throughout your career.

For more information visit www.london.edu/mm, email mim@london.edu or give us a call on +44 (0)20 7000 7573.

* Figures taken from London Business School's Masters in Management 2010 employment report

As in the previous chapters, we will consider portfolios of assets A_1, \dots, A_N , which are represented by vectors in the N -dimensional space K with the basis r_1, \dots, r_N of the asset returns. Portfolios of assets A_1, \dots, A_N, F are represented by vectors in an $(N+1)$ -dimensional space, where the asset returns r_1, \dots, r_N, r_N ,

f make a basis. In this basis $f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Lemma 7.1. $f \in \text{Env}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N, F)$.

Proof

$\sigma_f = 0$ and 0 is the lowest possible value for standard deviation, hence f is on the envelope. \square


For any portfolio x of the assets A_1, \dots, A_N define two rays R_{1x} and R_{2x} on the (σ, μ) -plane starting at point $(0, f)$: R_{1x} is passing through point (σ_x, μ_x) and R_{2x} is passing through point $(\sigma_x, 2f - \mu_x)$.

Clearly, they are defined by the following equations,

$$R_{1x}: \mu = f + \frac{\mu_x - f}{\sigma_x} \sigma \quad (\sigma \geq 0), \quad R_{2x}: \mu = f - \frac{\mu_x - f}{\sigma_x} \sigma \quad (\sigma \geq 0). \quad (22)$$

Lemma 7.2. 1) x is a portfolio of A_1, \dots, A_N and $y = \lambda x + (1-\lambda)f$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{R} \Leftrightarrow (\sigma_y, \mu_y) \in R_{1x} \cup R_{2x}$.

2) The feasible region of A_1, \dots, A_N, F equals $\{R_{1x} \cup R_{2x} \mid x \text{ is a portfolio of } A_1, \dots, A_N\}$.



Proof

1) \Rightarrow Suppose x is a portfolio of A_1, \dots, A_N and $y = \lambda x + (1-\lambda)f$. Denote $\sigma = \sigma_y$ and $\mu = \mu_y$. Then

$$\mu = \lambda\mu_x + (1-\lambda)f, \quad \sigma^2 = \lambda^2\sigma_x^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = |\lambda| \sigma_x.$$

We will consider 2 cases: $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\lambda < 0$.

a) $\lambda \geq 0$.

Then $\sigma = \lambda\sigma_x$, $\lambda = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_x}$. Substitute: $\mu = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_x} \mu_x + (1 - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_x})f$, $\mu - f = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_x} (\mu_x - f)$, $\frac{\mu - f}{\mu_x - f} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_x}$ ($\sigma \geq 0$).

This defines the ray R_{1x} .

b) $\lambda < 0$.

Then $\sigma = -\lambda\sigma_x$, $\lambda = -\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_x}$. Substitute: $\mu = -\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_x} \mu_x + (1 + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_x})f$, $\mu - f = -\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_x} (\mu_x - f)$, $\frac{\mu - f}{\mu_x - f} = -\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_x}$ ($\sigma \geq 0$).

This defines the ray R_{2x} .

\Leftarrow This part is proven by reversing calculations in the previous part with $\lambda = \pm \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_x}$.

2) Due to (1), it is sufficient to prove:

y is a portfolio of $A_1, \dots, A_N, F \Leftrightarrow y = \lambda x + (1-\lambda)f$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and portfolio x of A_1, \dots, A_N (23)

Proof of (23)

\Rightarrow Suppose y is a portfolio of A_1, \dots, A_N, F . Then $y = k f + y_1 r_1 + \dots + y_N r_N$, where $k + y_1 + \dots + y_N = 1$.

So $y_1 + \dots + y_N = 1 - k$. Denote $\lambda = 1 - k$ and $x = \frac{1}{\lambda}(y_1 r_1 + \dots + y_N r_N)$. Then x is a portfolio of A_1, \dots, A_N and $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)f = y$.

\Leftarrow Suppose $y = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)f$ and x is a portfolio of A_1, \dots, A_N . Then $x = x_1 r_1 + \dots + x_N r_N$ and $\sum_{k=1}^N x_k = 1$.

So $y = \lambda x_1 r_1 + \dots + \lambda x_N r_N + (1 - \lambda)f$ and $\lambda x_1 + \dots + \lambda x_N + (1 - \lambda) = \lambda \sum_{k=1}^N x_k + (1 - \lambda) = \lambda + (1 - \lambda) = 1$. Therefore y is a portfolio of A_1, \dots, A_N, F . \square

7.2 Tangency Portfolio

Lemma 7.3. Consider the right branch of the hyperbola representing $Env(A_1, \dots, A_N)$, as in Theorem 6.1:

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{A} - \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{B} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0).$$

Suppose $f \neq \mu_0$. Then there is one tangent line to this curve from point $(0, f)$ and it is given by the equation:

$$\mu = f + \frac{\mu_t - f}{\sigma_t} \sigma,$$

where (σ_t, μ_t) are the coordinates of tangency point t :

$$\sigma_t = \sqrt{A + \frac{AB}{(\mu_0 - f)^2}}, \quad \mu_t = \mu_0 + \frac{B}{\mu_0 - f}.$$

Proof

For a standard hyperbola $\frac{x^2}{a^2} - \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$ the tangent line through tangency point (X, Y) is given by the equation: $\frac{Xx}{a^2} - \frac{Yy}{b^2} = 1$ (see, for example, Il'in and Poznyak, 1985). So the tangent line to our hyperbola through tangency point (σ_t, μ_t) has the form:

$$\frac{\sigma_t}{A} \sigma - \frac{\mu_t - \mu_0}{B} (\mu - \mu_0) = 1.$$



Join EADS. A global leader in aerospace, defence and related services.

Let your imagination take shape.

EADS unites a leading aircraft manufacturer, the world's largest helicopter supplier, a global leader in space programmes and a worldwide leader in global security solutions and systems to form Europe's largest defence and aerospace group. More than 140,000 people work at Airbus, Astrium, Cassidian and Eurocopter, in 90 locations globally, to deliver some of the industry's most exciting projects.

An **EADS internship** offers the chance to use your theoretical knowledge and apply it first-hand to real situations and assignments during your studies. Given a high level of responsibility, plenty of

learning and development opportunities, and all the support you need, you will tackle interesting challenges on state-of-the-art products.

We take more than 5,000 interns every year across disciplines ranging from engineering, IT, procurement and finance, to strategy, customer support, marketing and sales. Positions are available in France, Germany, Spain and the UK.

To find out more and apply, visit www.jobs.eads.com. You can also find out more on our **EADS Careers Facebook page**.



EADS

Please click the advert

For point $(0, f)$ we have: $\sigma = 0$ and $\mu = f$:

$$-\frac{\mu_t - \mu_0}{B}(f - \mu_0) = 1, \quad \mu_t - \mu_0 = \frac{B}{\mu_0 - f}, \quad \mu_t = \mu_0 + \frac{B}{\mu_0 - f}.$$

Substituting this into the equation of the hyperbola we find σ_t . \square

Since for each value of expected return there is exactly one envelope portfolio, the tangency point t from Lemma 7.3 corresponds to a unique portfolio in $\text{Env}(A_1, \dots, A_N)$; this portfolio will also be denoted t .

Theorem 7.1. Suppose $f \neq \mu_0$ and t is the tangency point from Lemma 7.3.

1) On (σ, μ) -plane $\text{Env}(A_1, \dots, A_N, F)$ is represented by the set

$$R_{1t} \cup R_{2t} = \left\{ (\sigma, \mu) \mid \sigma \geq 0, \mu = f \pm \frac{\mu_t - f}{\sigma_t} \sigma \right\}.$$

2) The feasible region is the angle between the rays R_{1t} : $\mu = f + \frac{\mu_t - f}{\sigma_t} \sigma$ and R_{2t} : $\mu = f - \frac{\mu_t - f}{\sigma_t} \sigma$, with the boundaries included.

Proof

We use Lemma 7.2. Since point t is on the hyperbola, the portfolio t is a portfolio of A_1, \dots, A_N . The rays R_{1t} and R_{2t} are given by:

$$R_{1t}: \mu = f + \frac{\mu_t - f}{\sigma_t} \sigma \text{ and } R_{2t}: \mu = f - \frac{\mu_t - f}{\sigma_t} \sigma.$$

The first one is the tangent line to hyperbola. For any portfolio x of A_1, \dots, A_N , the rays R_{1x} and R_{2x} are between R_{1t} and R_{2t} . This proves the theorem.

See detailed pictures for cases $f > \mu_0$ and $f < \mu_0$ in following Lemma 7.5 and Theorem 7.2. \square


Lemma 7.4. Suppose $f = \mu_0$.

1) There is no tangent line to the hyperbola from point $(0, f)$.

2) The feasible region of A_1, \dots, A_N, F is the angle between the hyperbola asymptotes

$$\mu = \mu_0 \pm \sqrt{\frac{B}{A}} \sigma \text{ (the asymptotes are not included).}$$

- 3) $Env(A_1, \dots, A_N, F)$ does not exist, that is, among portfolios with a given expected return the lower bound of risk is not attained.
- 4) $EF(A_1, \dots, A_N, F)$ does not exist, that is, among portfolios with a given risk the upper bound of expected returns is not attained.




Proof

- 1) follows from a general property of hyperbola.
- 2) follows from Lemma 7.2.
- 3) and 4) follow from 2). \square

Lemma 7.5. Suppose $f > \mu_0$.

- 1) The tangent line to the hyperbola from point $(0, f)$ is below the hyperbola.
- 2) The feasible region of A_1, \dots, A_N, F is the set $\left\{ (\sigma, \mu) \mid \sigma \geq 0, f + \frac{\mu_t - f}{\sigma_t} \sigma \leq \mu \leq f - \frac{\mu_t - f}{\sigma_t} \sigma \right\}$.
- 3) On (σ, μ) -plane $EF(A_1, \dots, A_N, F)$ is represented by the set $R_{2t} = \left\{ (\sigma, \mu) \mid \sigma \geq 0, \mu = f - \frac{\mu_t - f}{\sigma_t} \sigma \right\}$.



Proof

1) By Lemma 7.3, the second coordinate of the tangency point is $\mu_t = \mu_0 + \frac{B}{\mu_0 - f}$ and $f > \mu_0$. So

$\mu_t < \mu_0$, the tangency point is below the vertex and the tangent line is below the hyperbola.

Please click the advert

Excellent Economics and Business programmes at:



**university of
 groningen**



**“The perfect start
 of a successful,
 international career.”**

CLICK HERE
 to discover why both socially
 and academically the University
 of Groningen is one of the best
 places for a student to be

www.rug.nl/feb/education

2) and 3) follow from 1) and Theorem 7.1. \square

Only the case $f < \mu_0$ is realistic because in real world the risk-free return is lower than the expected return of any efficient portfolio of assets A_1, \dots, A_N , including μ_0 , the expected return of the portfolio with lowest risk. Further we will consider only the case $f < \mu_0$.


Theorem 7.2. Suppose $f < \mu_0$.

1) The tangent line to the hyperbola from point $(0, f)$ is above the hyperbola.

2) The feasible region of A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N, F is the set

$$\left\{ (\sigma, \mu) \mid \sigma \geq 0, f - \frac{\mu_t - f}{\sigma_t} \sigma \leq \mu \leq f + \frac{\mu_t - f}{\sigma_t} \sigma \right\}.$$

3) On (σ, μ) -plane $EF(A_1, \dots, A_N, F)$ is represented by the ray R_{1t} .



Proof

1) By Lemma 7.3, the second coordinate of the tangency point is $\mu_t = \mu_0 + \frac{B}{\mu_0 - f}$ and $f < \mu_0$. So

$\mu_t > \mu_0$, the tangency point is above the vertex and the tangent line is above the hyperbola.

2) and 3) follow from 1) and Theorem 7.1. \square

Since for each value of expected return there is exactly one envelope portfolio, the tangency point t corresponds to a unique portfolio (also denoted t) that belongs to both envelopes $Env(A_1, \dots, A_N)$ and $Env(A_1, \dots, A_N, F)$.

Tangency portfolio t is the unique portfolio that belongs to both envelopes $Env(A_1, \dots, A_N)$ and $Env(A_1, \dots, A_N, F)$.

7.3 Market Portfolio. Capital Market Line

The **market portfolio** is the portfolio containing every asset in a given market with the weight proportional to its market value. It is denoted m .

Thus, $m = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ \dots \\ m_N \end{bmatrix}$, where each weight $m_k = \frac{\text{market value of asset } A_k}{\text{total market value of all assets}}$.

Though it is not obvious from the definition, the market portfolio depends on the value of the risk-free return in a market, since the market values of risky assets depend on it.

Market portfolio is a theoretical concept. In practice they often use proxies such as S&P 500 in the US or FTSE100 in the UK to represent the market portfolio. S&P 500 stands for Standard and Poor 500, the index consisting of 500 largest US stocks. FTSE100 is a stock market index made up of the 100 largest UK registered companies.

In this book we consider only an **equilibrium model** of a financial market. This model assumes that investors can freely buy and sell assets, and the prices of financial securities at any time rapidly reflect all available relevant information; so the market is balanced and functions well.

In case $f < \mu_0$, in the equilibrium model the market portfolio m and the tangency portfolio t are the same.

Example 7.1. Suppose the risk-free return is 2% and the market portfolio has expected return of 10% and standard deviation of 15%. If you invested 0.2 of your wealth into the market portfolio and the rest into the risk-free asset, what are the expected return and risk of your investment?

Solution

$$\mu_m = 10, \sigma_m = 15, f = 2, x = 0.2m + 0.8f.$$

$$\text{So } \mu_x = 0.2\mu_m + 0.8f = 0.2 \times 10 + 0.8 \times 2 = 3.6\%.$$

$$\text{Since } f \text{ is constant, we have } \sigma_x = 0.2\sigma_m = 0.2 \times 15 = 3\%. \quad \square$$

The tangent line to the hyperbola from point $(0, f)$ is called the **Capital Market Line** (CML).

The equation of CML is $\mu = f + \frac{\mu_m - f}{\sigma_m} \sigma$ ($\sigma \geq 0$), as was shown in Lemma 7.3. The CML passes through points f and m . The CML represents $EF(A_1, \dots, A_N, F)$.

7.3. Exercises

1. Suppose the risk-free return is 10% and the market portfolio has expected return of 20% and standard deviation of 25%. If you invested 0.4 of your wealth in the market portfolio and the rest in the risk-free asset, what are the expected return and risk of your investment?

Answer: $\mu_x = 14\%$ and $\sigma_x = 10\%$.

2. Assume the same parameters for the risk-free asset and market portfolio as in Exercise 1. If you invested 1.2 of your wealth into the market portfolio and the rest into the risk-free asset (a short sale), what are the expected return and risk of your investment?

Answer: $\mu_x = 22\%$ and $\sigma_x = 30\%$.

Please click the advert

Teach with the Best. Learn with the Best.

Agilent offers a wide variety of affordable, industry-leading electronic test equipment as well as knowledge-rich, on-line resources—for professors and students.

We have 100's of comprehensive web-based teaching tools, lab experiments, application notes, brochures, DVDs/CDs, posters, and more.



See what Agilent can do for you.
www.agilent.com/find/EDUstudents
www.agilent.com/find/EDUeducators

© Agilent Technologies, Inc. 2012

u.s. 1-800-829-4444 canada: 1-877-894-4414

Anticipate — Accelerate — Achieve



Agilent Technologies

7.4 Finding Market Portfolio and CML Equation

Theorem 7.3. 1) $Env(A_1, \dots, A_N, F) = \{\lambda m + (1-\lambda)f \mid \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\}$.

2) $EF(A_1, \dots, A_N, F) = \{\lambda m + (1-\lambda)f \mid \lambda \geq 0\}$.


Proof

$$1) y = \lambda m + (1-\lambda)f \Leftrightarrow (\sigma_y, \mu_y) \in R_{1m} \cup R_{2m} \Leftrightarrow y \in EF(A_1, \dots, A_N, F).$$

The first equivalence follows from lemma 7.2.1) and the second equivalence follows from Theorem 7.1.1).

2) follows from 1) because $EF(A_1, \dots, A_N, F)$ is represented by CML and points on CML correspond to portfolios $\lambda m + (1-\lambda)f$ with $\lambda \geq 0$. \square

The CML is the ray R_{1m} passing through the points $(0, f)$ and (σ_m, μ_m) : $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_m} = \frac{\mu - f}{\mu_m - f}$ ($\sigma \geq 0$).



Here the top half of the right branch of the hyperbola represents the efficient frontier of the N risky assets. CML represents the efficient frontier of the N risky assets combined with the risk-free asset F (see Theorem 7.2.3). For best expected returns investors should invest in the portfolios along CML, that is the portfolios of the form $\lambda m + (1-\lambda)f$. The investors who tolerate risk will invest in the market portfolio m or the portfolios above m (with $\lambda > 1$). The risk averse investors will have a higher proportion of the risk-free asset f , which means investing in the portfolios below m (with $0 \leq \lambda < 1$); so these investors will have a lower risk but also a lower expected return.

Example 7.2. The market portfolio has expected return of 20% and standard deviation of 8%. The risk-free return is 4%.

- 1) Write the equation of the CML.
- 2) Efficient portfolio x has expected return of 16%.
 - a) Find its standard deviation.
 - b) If the total value of portfolio x is \$1000, how is this value allocated?

Solution

$$\mu_m = 20, \sigma_m = 8, f = 4.$$

- 1) The CML passes through the points $(0, f)$ and (σ_m, μ_m) , which are $(0, 4)$ and $(8, 20)$. So

$$\text{CML: } \frac{\sigma}{8} = \frac{\mu - 4}{20 - 4}, \quad \text{CML: } \mu = 2\sigma + 4 \ (\sigma \geq 0).$$

- 2) a) Portfolio x is efficient, therefore it is on the CML. So $\mu_x = 2\sigma_x + 4$, $16 = 2\sigma_x + 4$, $\sigma_x = 6\%$.

b) Since x is on the envelope, it has the form $x = m + (1-\lambda)f$ by Theorem 7.3.1). Its expected return equals $\mu_x = \lambda\mu_m + (1-\lambda)f$, $16 = \lambda \cdot 20 + (1-\lambda) \cdot 4$, $\lambda = 0.75$ and $x = 0.75m + 0.25f$. So \$750 is invested in the market portfolio and \$250 is invested in the risk-free asset. \square

Theorem 7.4. The market portfolio m has the following numerical characteristics:

$$\sigma_m = \sqrt{A + \frac{AB}{(\mu_0 - f)^2}}, \quad \mu_m = \mu_0 + \frac{B}{\mu_0 - f}.$$

Proof

It follows from Lemma 7.3. \square

Theorem 7.4 can be used to find the market portfolio. Next theorem provides another method of calculating the market portfolio. The theorem is stated without proof; the proof can be found in (Kachapova and Kachapov, 2006).

Theorem 7.5. The market portfolio m equals kz , where $z = S^{-1}(M^T - fU^T)$ and the coefficient of proportionality $k = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N z_i}$.

Theorem 7.5 gives a method for calculating the market portfolio in two steps:

step 1: calculate $z = S^{-1}(M^T - fU^T)$; step 2: calculate $m = \frac{z}{\sum_{i=1}^N z_i}$.

Example 7.3. Two assets from Example 6.6 have expected returns of 1% and 3%, respectively, and covariance matrix $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$. The risk-free return is 1.2%.

- 1) Find the market portfolio using Theorem 7.4.
- 2) Find the equation of CML.
- 3) Find the envelope and efficient frontier of the two risky assets combined with the risk-free asset.

Need help with your dissertation?

Get in-depth feedback & advice from experts in your topic area. Find out what you can do to improve the quality of your dissertation!

Get Help Now



Go to www.helpmyassignment.co.uk for more info

 **Helpmyassignment**

Please click the advert

Solution

1) $f = 1.2$. In Example 6.6 we found the envelope and its representation on (σ, μ) -plane:

$$Env(A_1, A_2) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\mu + 3 \\ \mu - 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid \mu \in R \right\} \text{ and } \frac{\sigma^2}{0.1} - \frac{(\mu - 1.6)^2}{0.04} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0).$$

So $\mu_0 = 1.6$, $B = 0.04$. By Theorem 7.4, $\mu_m = \mu_0 + \frac{B}{\mu_0 - f} = 1.6 + \frac{0.04}{1.6 - 1.2} = 1.7$.

Substitute $\mu = 1.7$ into the expression for envelope portfolio to find the market portfolio:

$$m = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1.7 + 3 \\ 1.7 - 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad m = \begin{bmatrix} 0.65 \\ 0.35 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2) $A = 0.1$. By Theorem 7.4, $\sigma_m = \sqrt{A + \frac{AB}{(\mu_0 - f)^2}} = \sqrt{0.1 + \frac{0.1 \times 0.04}{(1.6 - 1.2)^2}}, \quad \sigma_m = \sqrt{0.125}$.

CML passes through the points $f(0, 1.2)$ and $m(\sqrt{0.125}, 1.7)$. Its equation is $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{0.125}} = \frac{\mu - 1.2}{1.7 - 1.2}$.

CML: $\mu = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{0.5}} + 1.2 \quad (\sigma \geq 0)$.

3) By Theorem 7.3.1), $Env(A_1, A_2, F) = \{\lambda m + (1-\lambda)f \mid \lambda \in R\}$.

$$\lambda m + (1-\lambda)f = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0.65 \\ 0.35 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + (1-\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.65\lambda \\ 0.35\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix}, \quad Env(A_1, A_2, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0.65\lambda \\ 0.35\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mid \lambda \in R \right\}.$$

By Theorem 7.3.2), $EF(A_1, A_2, F) = \{\lambda m + (1-\lambda)f \mid \lambda \geq 0\}$. So $EF(A_1, A_2, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0.65\lambda \\ 0.35\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mid \lambda \geq 0 \right\}$. \square

Example 7.4. Three assets from Example 6.3 have expected returns of 2%, 1% and 1%, respectively and

covariance matrix $S = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$. The risk-free return is 0.4%.

1) Find the market portfolio using Theorem 7.4.

2) Find the equation of CML.

Solution

1) $f = 1.2$. In Example 6.3 we found the envelope and its representation on (σ, μ) -plane:

$$\text{Env}(A_1, A_2, A_3) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 3\mu - 3 \\ -4\mu + 8 \\ \mu - 2 \end{bmatrix} \mid \mu \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \text{ and } \frac{\sigma^2}{0.5} - \frac{(\mu - 0.5)^2}{0.75} = 1 \quad (\sigma > 0).$$

So $\mu_0 = 0.5$, $B = 0.75$. By Theorem 7.4, $\mu_m = \mu_0 + \frac{B}{\mu_0 - f} = 0.5 + \frac{0.75}{0.5 - 0.4} = 8$.

Substitute $\mu = 8$ into the expression for envelope portfolio to find the market portfolio:

$$m = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 8 - 3 \\ -4 \times 8 + 8 \\ 8 - 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad m = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ -8 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2) $A = 0.5$. By Theorem 7.4, $\sigma_m = \sqrt{A + \frac{AB}{(\mu_0 - f)^2}} = \sqrt{0.5 + \frac{0.5 \times 0.75}{(0.5 - 0.4)^2}}, \quad \sigma_m = \sqrt{38}$.

CML passes through the points $f(0, 0.4)$ and $m(\sqrt{38}, 8)$. Its equation is $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{38}} = \frac{\mu - 0.4}{8 - 0.4}$.

CML: $\mu = \sqrt{1.52} \sigma + 0.4 \quad (\sigma \geq 0)$. \square

Example 7.5. There are two assets A_1 and A_2 on a market with expected returns of 8% and 6%, respectively, and covariance matrix $S = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. The risk-free return is 3%.

- 1) Find the market portfolio using Theorem 7.5.
- 2) If the market value of company A_1 is \$3 mln, what is the market value of company A_2 ?

Solution

1) $f = 3$, $M = [8 \ 6]$, $S^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$.

By Theorem 7.5, $z = S^{-1}(M^T - fU^T) = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} - 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right), \quad z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}.$

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 z_i = 3, \quad m = \frac{z}{3}, \quad m = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2) The proportion of asset A_1 in the market portfolio is $\frac{1}{6}$, which equals the proportion of the market value of company A_1 on the market. Hence the total value of both stocks equals $3 \div \frac{1}{6} = \$18$ mln and the market value of company A_2 equals $18 \times \frac{5}{6} = \15 mln. \square

Example 7.6. Three assets have expected returns of 5%, 6% and 3%, respectively, and covariance matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ The risk-free return is 1\%.}$$

- 1) Find the market portfolio using Theorem 7.5.
- 2) Find the equation of CML.
- 3) Find the envelope and efficient frontier of the three risky assets combined with the risk-free asset.

Solution

$$1) f = 3, M = [5 \ 6 \ 3], S^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\text{By Theorem 7.5, } z = S^{-1}(M^T - fU^T) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 z_i = 2. \quad m = \frac{z}{2}, \quad m = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Please click the advert

Free online Magazines




Click here to download 
SpeakMagazines.com

$$2) \mu_m = Mm = [5 \ 6 \ 3] \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mu_m = \frac{17}{4}.$$

$$\sigma_m^2 = m^T S m = \frac{1}{16} [1 \ 1 \ 2] \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \sigma_m^2 = \frac{13}{8}, \sigma_m = \sqrt{\frac{13}{8}}.$$

CML passes through the points $f(0, 1)$ and $m\left(\sqrt{\frac{13}{8}}, \frac{17}{4}\right)$.

$$\text{Its equation is } \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\frac{13}{8}}} = \frac{\mu - 1}{\frac{17}{4} - 1}, \quad \text{CML: } \mu = \frac{\sqrt{26}}{2} \sigma + 1 \ (\sigma \geq 0).$$



3) By Theorem 7.3.1), $Env(A_1, A_2, F) = \{\lambda m + (1-\lambda)f \mid \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\}$.

$$\lambda m + (1-\lambda)f = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0.25 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + (1-\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.25\lambda \\ 0.25\lambda \\ 0.5\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix}, \quad Env(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0.25\lambda \\ 0.25\lambda \\ 0.5\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mid \lambda \in \mathbf{R} \right\}.$$

$$\text{By Theorem 7.3.2), } EF(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0.25\lambda \\ 0.25\lambda \\ 0.5\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mid \lambda \geq 0 \right\}. \quad \square$$

7.4. Exercises

In each of the following exercises find:

- 1) the market portfolio;
- 2) the equation of CML;
- 3) the envelope and efficient frontier of the three risky assets combined with the risk-free asset.

1. Three assets have expected returns of 2%, 2% and 4%, respectively, and covariance matrix $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$. The risk-free return is 0%.

Answer: 1) $m = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mu_m = 2.5$, $\sigma_m^2 = \frac{5}{8}$. 2) CML: $\mu = 2\sigma + 1$ ($\sigma \geq 0$).

$$3) \text{Env}(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ -0.5\lambda \\ 0.5\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \in R \right\}, \text{EF}(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ -0.5\lambda \\ 0.5\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \geq 0 \right\}.$$

2. The same risky assets as in exercise 1 with risk-free return of 1%.

Answer: 1) $m = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mu_m = 3$, $\sigma_m^2 = 1$. 2) CML: $\mu = 2\sigma + 1$ ($\sigma \geq 0$).

$$3) \text{Env}(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ -0.5\lambda \\ 0.5\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \in R \right\}, \text{EF}(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ -0.5\lambda \\ 0.5\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \geq 0 \right\}.$$

3. Three assets have expected returns of 4%, 2% and 4%, respectively, and covariance matrix $S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. The risk-free return is 2%.

Answer: 1) $m = \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mu_m = 4.4$, $\sigma_m^2 = \frac{6}{25}$. 2) CML: $\mu = 2(\sqrt{6}\sigma + 1)$ ($\sigma \geq 0$).

$$3) \text{Env}(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0.6\lambda \\ -0.2\lambda \\ 0.6\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \in R \right\}, \text{EF}(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0.6\lambda \\ -0.2\lambda \\ 0.6\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \geq 0 \right\}.$$

4. The same risky assets as in exercise 3 with risk-free return of 3%.

Answer: 1) $m = \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mu_m = 4.8$, $\sigma_m^2 = \frac{9}{25}$. 2) CML: $\mu = 3\sigma + 3$ ($\sigma \geq 0$).

3) $Env(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0.6\lambda \\ -0.4\lambda \\ 0.8\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mid \lambda \in R \right\}$, $EF(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0.6\lambda \\ -0.4\lambda \\ 0.8\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mid \lambda \geq 0 \right\}$.

5. Three assets have expected returns of 4%, 2% and 0%, respectively, and covariance matrix $S = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. The risk-free return is 2%.

Answer: 1) $m = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mu_m = 7$, $\sigma_m^2 = \frac{5}{4}$. 2) CML: $\mu = 2\sqrt{5}\sigma + 2$ ($\sigma \geq 0$).

3) $Env(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ 1.5\lambda \\ -1.5\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mid \lambda \in R \right\}$, $EF(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \\ 1.5\lambda \\ -1.5\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mid \lambda \geq 0 \right\}$.



You're full of *energy*
and ideas. And that's
just what we are looking for.

Looking for a career where your ideas could really make a difference? UBS's Graduate Programme and internships are a chance for you to experience for yourself what it's like to be part of a global team that rewards your input and believes in succeeding together.

Wherever you are in your academic career, make your future a part of ours by visiting www.ubs.com/graduates.

www.ubs.com/graduates



© UBS 2010. All rights reserved.

Please click the advert

6. The same risky assets as in exercise 5 with risk-free return of 3%.

$$1) m = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}, \mu_m = 10, \sigma_m^2 = \frac{7}{2}. 2) \text{ CML: } \mu = \sqrt{14} \sigma + 3 \ (\sigma \geq 0).$$

$$3) \text{ Env}(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1.5\lambda \\ 2\lambda \\ -2.5\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \in R \right\}, EF(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1.5\lambda \\ 2\lambda \\ -2.5\lambda \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \geq 0 \right\}.$$

7. Three assets have expected returns of 2%, 1% and 2%, respectively, and covariance matrix $S = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$. The risk-free return is 0%.

$$\text{Answer: } 1) m = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mu_m = 1, \sigma_m^2 = \frac{1}{3}. 2) \text{ CML: } \mu = \sqrt{3} \sigma \ (\sigma \geq 0).$$

$$3) \text{ Env}(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda \\ 3\lambda \\ \lambda \\ 3-3\lambda \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \in R \right\}, EF(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda \\ 3\lambda \\ \lambda \\ 3-3\lambda \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \geq 0 \right\}.$$

8. The same risky assets as in exercise 7 with risk-free return of 0.5%.

$$1) m = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mu_m = 3, \sigma_m^2 = 5. 2) \text{ CML: } \mu = \frac{\sqrt{5}\sigma + 1}{2} \ (\sigma \geq 0).$$

$$3) \text{ Env}(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2\lambda \\ -\lambda \\ 0 \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \in R \right\}, EF(A_1, A_2, A_3, F) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2\lambda \\ -\lambda \\ 0 \\ 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix} \middle| \lambda \geq 0 \right\}.$$

7.5 Regression in Finance. Beta Coefficient

Linear regression was covered in Section 4.4. In the world of N risky assets A_1, \dots, A_N and a risk-free asset F all portfolios are regressed to the market portfolio m . The market portfolio is not risk-free, so $\sigma_m \neq 0$.

Theorem 7.6. For any portfolio x the following holds.

$$1) x = \alpha_x + \beta_x m + \varepsilon, \text{ where } \begin{cases} \beta_x = \frac{\text{Cov}(x, m)}{\sigma_m^2}, \\ \alpha_x = \mu_x - \mu_m \beta_x. \end{cases}$$

The regression line $\alpha_x + \beta_x m$ is the linear function of m closest to x . So the coefficient β_x is the average rate of change of x 's return with respect to the market return.

2) For the residual ε , $\mu_\varepsilon = 0$ and $\text{Cov}(\varepsilon, m) = 0$.

3) The variance σ_x^2 represents the total risk of portfolio x ;

$\beta_x^2 \sigma_m^2$ is the **systematic risk** (or **market risk**) of x , the risk that affects most investments;

σ_ε^2 is the **unsystematic risk** (or **asset-specific risk**) of x , the risk that affects only a small number of investments.

The total risk of x is a sum of the systematic risk and unsystematic risk:

$$\sigma_x^2 = \beta_x^2 \sigma_m^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2.$$

Proof

1) and 2) follow directly from Theorem 4.5 and its Corollary.

$$\begin{aligned} 3) \sigma_x^2 &= \text{Var}(x) = \text{Var}(\alpha_x + \beta_x m + \varepsilon) = \text{Var}(\beta_x m + \varepsilon) = \beta_x^2 \text{Var}(m) + \text{Var}(\varepsilon) + 2\beta_x \text{Cov}(\varepsilon, m) = \\ &= \beta_x^2 \sigma_m^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2, \text{ since } \text{Cov}(\varepsilon, m) = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

These are some examples of factors of systematic risk:

- unexpected drop in interest rates;
- inflation;
- release of government figures on GDP (gross domestic product).

These are some examples of factors of unsystematic risk for a particular company:

- appointment of a new president of the company;
- news about the company's sales figures.

The regression line $x = \alpha_x + \beta_x m$ is called the **characteristic line** of portfolio x .

β_x is called the **beta coefficient** (or just **beta**) of portfolio x .

Thus, β_x is the slope and α_x is the y-intercept of the characteristic line.

Beta measures the response of the portfolio's return to a change in the market return. For example, if a portfolio has $\beta = 2$ and the market goes up by 10%, then this portfolio's return will increase by 20% on average.

Since $\mu_\varepsilon = 0$, we have $\mu_x = \alpha_x + \beta_x \mu_m$. So the expected return μ_x depends only on β_x , not σ_ε ; that is μ_x depends only on systematic risk.

For a portfolio x denote $\rho_{x,m}$ the coefficient of correlation between x and m .

Please click the advert



Discover the truth at www.deloitte.ca/careers

Deloitte.

© Deloitte & Touche LLP and affiliated entities.

Properties of Beta and Correlation Coefficients.

1) The beta for the market portfolio $\beta_m = 1$.

2) The beta for the risk-free asset $\beta_f = 0$.

3) For portfolio $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \\ x_{N+1} \end{bmatrix}$ the beta equals $\beta_x = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \beta_i$, where β_i is the beta coefficient for asset A_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$).

4) $\beta_x = \rho_{x,m} \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_m}$.

5) $\rho_{x,m}^2$ is the proportion of systematic risk of portfolio x and $1 - \rho_{x,m}^2$ is the proportion of unsystematic risk of x .

Proof

$$1) \beta_m = \frac{\text{Cov}(m, m)}{\sigma_m^2} = \frac{\sigma_m^2}{\sigma_m^2} = 1.$$

$$2) \text{Cov}(f, m) = 0, \text{ so } \beta_f = 0.$$

$$3) x = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i r_i + x_{N+1} f, \text{ Cov}(x, m) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \text{Cov}(r_i, m), \text{ since } \text{Cov}(f, m) = 0.$$

$$\beta_x = \frac{\text{Cov}(x, m)}{\sigma_m^2} = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \frac{\text{Cov}(r_i, m)}{\sigma_m^2} = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \beta_i.$$

$$4) \rho_{x,m} = \frac{\text{Cov}(x, m)}{\sigma_x \sigma_m}. \text{ So } \rho_{x,m} \cdot \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_m} = \frac{\text{Cov}(x, m)}{\sigma_x \sigma_m} \cdot \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_m} = \frac{\text{Cov}(x, m)}{\sigma_m^2} = \beta_x.$$

$$5) \frac{\text{systematic risk of } x}{\text{total risk of } x} = \frac{\beta_x^2 \sigma_m^2}{\sigma_x^2} = \beta_x^2 \frac{\sigma_m^2}{\sigma_x^2} = (\text{by Theorem 7.6.1}) = \left(\frac{\text{Cov}(x, m)}{\sigma_m^2} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma_m^2}{\sigma_x^2} =$$

$$= \left(\frac{\text{Cov}(x, m)}{\sigma_m \sigma_x} \right)^2 = \rho_{x,m}^2.$$

The remaining proportion is $1 - \rho_{x,m}^2$, which is the proportion of unsystematic risk of portfolio x . \square

Theorem 7.7. For any portfolio x , β_x^2 is a ratio of the systematic risk of x to the risk of the market portfolio.

Proof

$$\beta_x^2 = \frac{\beta_x^2 \sigma_m^2}{\sigma_m^2} = \frac{\text{systematic risk of } x}{\text{risk of the market portfolio}}. \quad \square$$

Beta coefficient is used for ordinal ranking of assets according to their systematic risk, due to the previous theorem.

An asset with $\beta > 1$ is called an **aggressive** asset (it is more volatile than the market portfolio).

An asset with $\beta < 1$ is called a **defensive** asset (it is less volatile than the market portfolio).

The following table shows the estimated beta coefficients of some companies in January 2013:

Company Name	Beta coefficient
McDonald's Corporation	0.39
The Procter & Gamble company	0.46
PepsiCo, Inc.	0.48
Coca-Cola Company	0.51
Exxon Mobil Corporation	0.51
Vodafone Group plc (ADR)	0.70
Toyota Motor Corporation	0.75
Chevron Corporation	0.79
Yahoo! Inc.	0.83
Honda Motor Company	0.85
Amazon.com, Inc	0.93
Microsoft Corporation	0.97
Intel Corporation	1.07
Hewlett-Packard Company	1.07
Google Inc	1.08
Oracle Corporation	1.11
Mitsubishi Financial Corporation	1.12
The Walt Disney Company	1.19
Starbucks Corporation	1.20
Apple Inc	1.21
Boeing Company	1.21
Dell Inc.	1.37
eBay Inc.	1.46
Nissan Motor Co	1.51
Sony Corporation	1.54
Nokia	1.55
Xerox Corporation	1.60
General Electric Company	1.60
Harley-Davidson	2.16
Ford Motor Company	2.28

Company Name	Beta coefficient
Quantum Corp	3.23

This range of betas is typical for stocks of large corporations.

7.6 Capital Asset Pricing Model and Security Market Line

Theorem 7.8. For any portfolio x of assets A_1, \dots, A_N :

$$1) \beta_x = \frac{\mu_x - f}{\mu_m - f};$$

2) $\mu_x = f + \beta_x (\mu_m - f)$. This equation is called **Capital Asset Pricing Model** (CAPM).

Proof

1) By Theorem 7.5 the market portfolio $m = kz$, where $z = S^{-1}(M^T - fU^T)$ and $k = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N z_i}$.

$$\text{Cov}(x, m) = x^T S m = k x^T S S^{-1}(M^T - fU^T) = k (x^T M^T - f x^T U^T) = k (Mx - fUx)^T = k (\mu_x - f).$$

When $x = m$, we have $\sigma_m^2 = \text{Cov}(m, m) = k (\mu_m - f)$. So $\beta_x = \frac{\text{Cov}(x, m)}{\sigma_m^2} = \frac{k(\mu_x - f)}{k(\mu_m - f)} = \frac{\mu_x - f}{\mu_m - f}$.

Please click the advert




Find your next education here!

Click here

bookboon.com/blog/subsites/stafford

2) follows directly from 1). \square

The graph of CAPM equation on the (β, μ) -plane is called the **Security Market Line (SML)**.

The slope of SML is $(\mu_m - f)$, which is called the **market risk premium**. It is the risk premium of the market portfolio.

The CAPM estimates how expected return depends on systematic risk. The CAPM model says that in a balanced market (the equilibrium model) all portfolios of the N risky assets have their (β, μ) -points on the Security Market Line. Mean-variance analysis and CAPM are used by brokers, pension-fund managers and consultants when formulating investment strategies and giving financial advice.

Example 7.7. The market portfolio has a standard deviation of 10%. Portfolio x has a standard deviation of 20% and a correlation coefficient of 0.65 with the market portfolio. Find the beta of the portfolio x .

Solution

By property 4) of beta, $\beta_x = \rho_{x,m} \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_m} = 0.65 \times \frac{20}{10} = 1.3$. \square

Example 7.8. Portfolios x , y and z have the following characteristic lines and coefficients of correlation with the market portfolio:

$$x = 2 + 1.5m, \rho_{x,m} = 0.9; y = 3 + 2.1m, \rho_{y,m} = 0.7; z = 4 + 0.8m, \rho_{z,m} = 0.6.$$

- 1) Which portfolio has the most systematic risk?
- 2) For each portfolio find the percentage of systematic risk and unsystematic risk.
- 3) For each portfolio determine whether it is aggressive or defensive.

Solution

1) $\beta_x = 1.5$, $\beta_y = 2.1$, $\beta_z = 0.8$. Portfolio y has the highest beta, hence y has the most systematic risk.

2) By property 5), the proportion of systematic risk of portfolio x equals $\rho_{x,m}^2 = 0.9^2 = 0.81 = 81\%$.

The percentage of unsystematic risk for x is $100 - 81 = 19\%$.

Similarly $\rho_{y,m}^2 = 0.7^2 = 0.49 = 49\%$. So portfolio y has 49% of systematic risk and 51% of unsystematic risk.

$\rho_{z,m}^2 = 0.6^2 = 0.36 = 36\%$. So portfolio z has 36% of systematic risk and 64% of unsystematic risk.

- 3) $\beta_x = 1.5 > 1$, $\beta_y = 2.1 > 1$, so portfolios x and y are aggressive. $\beta_z = 0.8 < 1$, so portfolio z is defensive. \square

Example 7.9. Portfolio x consists of three stocks with the following market values and betas:

Stock	Market Value (\$)	Beta
A_1	2,000	1.3
A_2	3,000	0.8
A_3	5,000	0.6

- Find the proportion of each stock in portfolio x .
- Find the beta coefficient for x .
- If the market portfolio has expected return of 7% and the risk-free return is 2%, what is the expected return of portfolio x ? Use CAPM model.

Solution

- 1) The total value of the portfolio is $2000 + 3000 + 5000 = \$10,000$. The proportions are:

$$x_1 = \frac{2000}{10000} = 0.2, \quad x_2 = \frac{3000}{10000} = 0.3, \quad x_3 = \frac{5000}{10000} = 0.5. \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- 2) By property 3) of beta, $\beta_x = \sum_{i=1}^3 x_i \beta_i = 0.2 \times 1.3 + 0.3 \times 0.8 + 0.5 \times 0.6$, $\beta_x = 0.8$.

- 3) $\mu_m = 7, f = 2$. CAPM: $\mu_x = f + \beta_x (\mu_m - f)$, $\mu_x = 2 + 0.8 \times (7 - 2) = 6\%$. \square

Example 7.10. The market portfolio has expected return of 9% and the risk-free return is 4%.


- Write the equation of CAPM.
- Draw the SML.
- Use the CAPM to find the following:
 - the expected return for portfolio x with beta of 1.4;
 - the beta for portfolio y with expected return of 7%.

Solution

$$\mu_m = 9, f = 4.$$

- 1) $\mu_x = f + \beta_x (\mu_m - f)$, $\mu_x = 4 + 5\beta_x$.

2)



3) a) $\mu_x = 4 + 5 \times 1.4 = 11\%$. b) $7 = 4 + 5 \times \beta_y$, $\beta_y = 0.6$. \square

7.6. Exercises

1. An equilibrium market has two risky assets A_1 and A_2 and a risk-free asset. The expected returns of A_1 and A_2 are 6% and 4%, respectively, and the risk-free return is 2.5%. The market value of company A_1 equals \$6 mln and the market value of company A_2 equals \$2 mln.

- 1) Find the market portfolio and its expected return.
- 2) Find the equation of CAPM.

Answer: 1) $m = \begin{bmatrix} 0.75 \\ 0.25 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mu_m = 5.5\%$. 2) CAPM: $\mu_x = 2.5 + 3\beta_x$.

2. Assets A_1 , A_2 and A_3 have betas of 0.8, 1.5 and 2.0, respectively.

- 1) Which asset has the most systematic risk?
- 2) For each asset determine whether it is aggressive or defensive.

Answer: 1) A_3 . 2) A_1 is defensive, A_2 and A_3 are aggressive.

3. $x = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.3 \end{bmatrix}$ is a portfolio of the assets from exercise 2.

- 1) Find the beta of portfolio x .
- 2) The risk-free return is 5% and the expected return of the market portfolio is 25%. Use CAPM to find the expected return of x .

Answer: 1) $\beta_x = 1.3$. 2) $\mu_x = 31\%$.

4. The market portfolio has a standard deviation of 14%. Portfolio x has a standard deviation of 35% and a beta of 1.75. Find the percentage of systematic risk and unsystematic risk for portfolio x .

Answer: 49% of systematic risk and 51% of unsystematic risk.

5. The market portfolio has expected return of 40% and the risk-free return is 15%.

1) Find the equation of CAPM. 2) If the expected return of portfolio x is 55%, what is its beta?

Answer: 1) $\mu_x = 15 + 25\beta_x$. 2) $\beta_x = 1.6$.

Please click the advert

It's only an opportunity if you act on it

IKEA.SE/STUDENT

IKEA

© Inter IKEA Systems B.V. 2009

8 Bibliography

Adams, A., Bloomfield, D., Booth, P., England, P. (1993). *Investment mathematics and statistics*. Graham and Trotman, London.

Benninga, S. (2001). *Financial Modeling* (2nd edition). The MIT Press, Cambridge, London.

Brealey, R. and Myers, S. (1985). *Principles of corporate finance* (2nd edition). McGraw-Hill.

Francis, J.C. and Taylor, R.W. (2000). *Theory and problems of investments* (2nd edition). McGraw-Hill.

Gitman, L.J. (1997). *Principles of managerial finance* (8th edition). Addison-Wesley.

Grimmett, G.R. and Stirzaker, D.R. (2004). *Probability and random processes* (3rd edition). Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Grinblatt, M. and Titman, S. (2002). *Financial markets and corporate strategy* (2nd edition). McGraw-Hill, Irwin.

Hsu, H.P. (1997). *Probability, random variables, and random processes*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Il'in, V.A. and Poznyak, E.G. (1985). *Analytic Geometry*. Imported Pubn.

Kachapova, F. and Kachapov, I. (2005). Calculating optimal portfolios of three financial assets. *Mathematics and Informatics Quarterly*. Vol. 15, No. 3/4, pp. 100–107.

Kachapova, F. and Kachapov, I. (2006). *Mathematical approach to portfolio analysis*. Maths Ken.

Kachapova, F. and Kachapov, I. (2010). Orthogonal projection in teaching regression and financial mathematics. *Journal of Statistics Education (JSE)*. ISSN 1069-1898, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 1–18. URL: <http://www.amstat.org/publications/jse/v18n1/kachapova.pdf>.

Kachapova, F. and Kachapov, I. (2012). Finding the envelope and efficient frontier of financial assets. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, ISSN 1549-3644, Vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 323–329. URL: <http://thescipub.com/abstract/10.3844/jmssp.2012.323.329>.

Kremer, N.Sh. (2004). *Probability theory and mathematical statistics* (2nd edition). Unity, Moscow.

Lay, D.C. (1997). *Linear algebra and its applications* (2nd edition). Addison-Wesley.

Riddle, D. (1995). *Analytic Geometry*, 6th edition. Brooks Cole.

Ross, S.A., Westerfield, R.W., Jordan, B.D. (1998). *Fundamentals of corporate finance* (4th edition). Irwin, McGraw-Hill.

Teall, J.L. and Hasan, I. (2002). *Quantitative methods for finance and investments*. Blackwell Publishing.